

New families of normal numbers constructed from existing ones

JEAN-MARIE DE KONINCK¹ AND IMRE KÁTAI

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Ferenc Schipp

Edition of January 15, 2025

Abstract

Given an integer $q \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{A}_q := \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ be the set of base q digits. We say that $\alpha = a_1 \dots a_k$, where each $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_q$, is a *word* of length $\lambda(\alpha) = k$. Let $H(1), H(2), \dots$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers and given a sequence of words $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$, we examine under which conditions the number $0.\alpha_1^{H(1)}\alpha_2^{H(2)}\dots$ (where $\alpha_j^r = \underbrace{\alpha_j \dots \alpha_j}_{r \text{ times}}$) is a normal number in base q .

AMS subject classification numbers: 11K16

Key words and phrases: normal numbers

1 Introduction

Fix an integer $q \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{A}_q := \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ be the set of base q digits. We say that $\alpha = a_1 \dots a_k$, where each $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_q$, is a *word* of length $\lambda(\alpha) = k$.

Let $\beta = \alpha_1\alpha_2\dots$ be an infinite concatenation of words α_i 's, which we write as $\beta = j_1j_2\dots$, where each $j_i \in \mathcal{A}_q$. Then, $\xi = \xi(\beta) := \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{j_\nu}{q^\nu}$ is a *q-ary normal number* if the sequence of fractional parts $\{q^k\xi\}_{k \geq 1}$ is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$ (see Theorem 8.1 in Kuipers and Niederreiter [3]).

Over the past decade, we created several new families of normal numbers [2].

Here, we expose a new approach for creating normal numbers. To do so, we first let $H(1), H(2), H(3), \dots$ be a sequence of natural numbers and then, given a sequence of words $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$, we examine under which conditions the number $0.\alpha_1^{H(1)}\alpha_2^{H(2)}\dots$ (where $\alpha_j^r = \underbrace{\alpha_j \dots \alpha_j}_{r \text{ times}}$) is a normal number in base q .

2 Background results

In a 1994 paper, Bassily [1] generalized to polynomials a result which originally applied only to the sum of digits function. To explain his result, we introduce additional notation. Let q and $\mathcal{A}_q$ be as above. Then, every nonnegative integer n can be written as

$$n = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r(n)q^r, \quad \text{where each } a_r(n) \in \mathcal{A}_q.$$

¹The research work of the first author was supported in part by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Clearly, the above sum is finite, since $a_r(n) = 0$ if $r > (\log n)/(\log q)$. Bassily investigated digital functions $\alpha(n)$ which depend on the digital blocks of length k . More precisely, given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a function $F_k : \mathcal{A}_q^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the condition $F_k(0, \dots, 0) = 0$, consider the function

$$\alpha(n) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_k(a_j(n), a_{j+1}(n), \dots, a_{j+k-1}(n)),$$

that is, a kind of generalisation of the sum of digits function. Further setting

$$M := \frac{1}{q^k} \sum_{(b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}) \in \mathcal{A}_q^k} F_k(b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}),$$

Bassily showed [1] that

$$\alpha(n) = (1 + o(1))M \frac{\log n}{\log q} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

except perhaps on a set of density 0. Let $\pi(x)$ stand for the number of primes not exceeding x . In his paper, Bassily also proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. (Bassily) *Let $q \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Let $P(x) = c_r x^r + \dots + c_1 x + c_0$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients taking on positive values for all $x > 0$ and such that $\gcd(c_r, q) = 1$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \left(\alpha(P(n)) - Mr \frac{\log x}{\log q} \right)^2 &\ll x \log x, \\ \sum_{p \leq x} \left(\alpha(P(p)) - Mr \frac{\log x}{\log q} \right)^2 &\ll \pi(x) \log x. \end{aligned}$$

Let q and $P(x)$ be as in the statement of Theorem A, and further let $2 = p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ be the sequence of all primes. Moreover, let η_1 and η_2 be the real numbers whose q -ary expansions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= 0.\overline{P(1)}\overline{P(2)}\overline{P(3)}\overline{P(4)} \dots \overline{P(n)} \dots \\ \eta_2 &= 0.\overline{P(2)}\overline{P(3)}\overline{P(5)}\overline{P(7)} \dots \overline{P(p_n)} \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{n}$ stands for the concatenation of the base q digits of n . Bassily proved that η_1 and η_2 are normal numbers in base q .

Moreover, fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a word $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_q^k$, that is, a word made of k base q digits. Then, given an arbitrary word β made of base q digits, we define $\omega_\gamma(\beta)$ as the number of occurrences of the subword γ in the word β . Bassily showed that if

$$(2.1) \quad \Gamma_k := \{\gamma = b_1 b_2 \dots b_k : b_i \in \mathcal{A}_q \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k\},$$

then,

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k} \left(\omega_\gamma(P(n)) - \frac{r \log x}{q^k \log q} \right)^2 \ll x \log x,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k} \left(\omega_\gamma(P(p)) - \frac{r \log x}{q^k \log q} \right)^2 \ll \pi(x) \log x.$$

Now, before we state our main results, we introduce two new functions as follows. Given an arithmetic function $H : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, consider the two functions

$$s_H(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} H^2(n) / \left(\sum_{n \leq x} H(n) \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad t_H(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} H^2(p) / \left(\sum_{p \leq x} H(p) \right)^2.$$

3 The main results

Theorem 1. *Let $q \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Let $P(x) = c_r x^r + \dots + c_1 x + c_0$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients taking on nonnegative values for all $x > 0$ and such that $\gcd(c_r, q) = 1$. Let $H(1), H(2), H(3), \dots$ be a sequence of natural numbers satisfying the condition*

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} s_H(x) \cdot \frac{x}{\log x} = 0.$$

Then, the number

$$(3.2) \quad \eta_1 := 0.\overline{P(1)}^{H(1)} \overline{P(2)}^{H(2)} \overline{P(3)}^{H(3)} \overline{P(4)}^{H(4)} \dots$$

is a q -normal number.

Theorem 2. *Let q , $P(x)$ and $H(n)$ be as in Theorem 1. Assuming that*

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} t_H(x) \cdot \frac{x}{\log^2 x} = 0,$$

then,

$$(3.4) \quad \eta_2 := 0.\overline{P(2)}^{H(2)} \overline{P(3)}^{H(3)} \overline{P(5)}^{H(5)} \overline{P(7)}^{H(7)} \dots$$

is a q -normal number.

4 Some preliminary results

We start with a classic result in analytic number theory. As is common, $\zeta(s)$ stands for the Riemann Zeta Function.

Proposition 1. *Let f be an arithmetic function and let t be a positive real number. Assume that, for every real $s > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = \zeta^t(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s},$$

where g is such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n}$ converges absolutely. Then,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = (c + o(1)) x \log^{t-1} x \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$\text{where } c = \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n}.$$

Proof. This is a particular case of Theorem 2 in the 1954 paper of Atle Selberg [5]. □

Let ϕ stand for the Euler totient function. The following is often called the *prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions*.

Proposition 2. *Given two coprime integers $k \geq 1$ and ℓ , let $\pi(x; k, \ell) := \#\{p \leq x : p \equiv \ell \pmod{k}\}$. Then,*

$$\pi(x; k, \ell) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Proof. See Theorems 5.11 and 5.14 in the book of Narkiewicz [4]. □

We now recall a 1961 result of Wirsing [6] which we state as a proposition.

Proposition 3. *Let $f(n)$ be a real valued non negative multiplicative function satisfying $f(p^\nu) \leq c_1 c_2^\nu$ for all prime powers p^ν , $\nu \geq 2$, for some positive constant c_1 and c_2 , with $c_2 < 2$. Assuming that there exists a positive constant τ for which*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} f(p) = (\tau + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

then, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \left(\frac{e^{\gamma r}}{\Gamma(r)} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots \right),$$

where γ stands for the Euler-Mascheroni constant and $\Gamma(r)$ is the Gamma function.

The next proposition is an immediate application of Proposition 3.

Proposition 4. *Let f be a completely multiplicative function such that $f(p) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all primes p . Assume that there exists a real number $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} f(p) = (\delta + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \left(\frac{e^{\gamma r}}{\Gamma(r)} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{f(p)}{p} \right)^{-1}.$$

5 Proof of Theorem 1

Letting Γ_k be the set defined in (2.1), in order to prove that η_1 is a q -normal number, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} H(n) \cdot \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k} \left| \omega_\gamma(P(n)) - \frac{r}{q^k} \frac{\log x}{\log q} \right| = o \left((\log x) \cdot \sum_{n \leq x} H(n) \right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Indeed, we need to show that for most integers $n \leq x$, the number of occurrences of $P(n)$ in the words $\gamma = b_1 \dots b_k \in \mathcal{A}_q^k$ is asymptotic to $\frac{r}{q^k} \left\lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log q} \right\rfloor$ (which for large $n \leq x$ is asymptotic to $\frac{r}{q^k} \frac{\log x}{\log q}$). By proving (5.1), we will have shown that the largest possible difference between $\omega_\gamma(P(n))$ and $\frac{r}{q^k} \frac{\log x}{\log q}$ is on average $o(\log x)$, which is sufficient to show that η_1 is a q -normal number.

Now, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the Bassily result (2.2) and finally the condition (3.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} H(n) \cdot \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k} \left| \omega_\gamma(P(n)) - \frac{r}{q^k} \frac{\log x}{\log q} \right| &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{n \leq x} H^2(n) \cdot x \log x} \\ &\leq \sqrt{x \log x \cdot s_H(x) \cdot \left(\sum_{n \leq x} H(n) \right)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{x \log x} \cdot \sqrt{s_H(x)} \cdot \sum_{n \leq x} H(n) \\ &= o \left((\log x) \cdot \sum_{n \leq x} H(n) \right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

thus establishing (5.1) and completing the proof of Theorem 1.

6 Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 will follow if we can prove that

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} H(p) \max_{j \in \Gamma_k} \left| \omega_j(P(p)) - \frac{r}{q^k} \frac{\log x}{\log q} \right| = o \left(\log x \cdot \sum_{p \leq x} H(p) \right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Proceeding essentially as in the proof of Theorem 1, one can see that (6.1) is a consequence of (3.3), from which the proof of Theorem 2 follows.

7 Applications

We now identify some particular types of functions $H(n)$ for which Theorems 1 and 2 apply.

7.1 Choosing $H(n)$ to be a polynomial

Let $Q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$, and set $H(n) := \lfloor |Q(n)| \rfloor$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case,

$$\sum_{n \leq N} H(n) \approx N^{d+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \leq x} H^2(n) \approx \sum_{n \leq x} n^{2d} \sim x^{2d+1}.$$

Hence,

$$\left(\sum_{n \leq x} H(n) \right)^2 \approx \left(\sum_{n \leq x} n^d \right)^2 \approx (x^{d+1})^2 = x^{2d+2},$$

from which it follows that condition (3.1) is satisfied, implying that the corresponding real number defined by (3.2) is indeed a normal number in base q .

Following essentially the same kind of reasoning, one easily sees that the conditions of Theorem 2 are also satisfied and therefore that the corresponding real number defined by (3.4) is a normal number in base q .

7.2 Choosing $H(n)$ to be a multiplicative function

Let H_0 be an arithmetic multiplicative function such that $H_0(p) = w$ for all primes p , where $1 < w < 2$, and also such that $H_0(p^r) < r + 1$ for each prime power p^r . Then, set $H(n) := \lfloor H_0(n) \rfloor$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In order to show that condition (3.1) holds, we will prove that

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} S_{H_0}(x) \cdot \frac{x}{\log x} = 0.$$

and

$$(7.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{H_0}(x)}{s_H(x)} = 1.$$

First observe that for $\Re s > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_0(n)}{n^s} &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{w}{p^s} + \sum_{r \geq 2} \frac{H_0(p^r)}{p^{rs}} \right) = \zeta(s)^w A_1(s), \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_0^2(n)}{n^s} &= \zeta(s)^{w^2} A_2(s), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_1(s)$ and $A_2(s)$ are two holomorphic functions bounded in the half plane $\Re s > 1 - \delta$, where $\delta > 0$ is a suitable small number, with $A_1(1) \neq 0$ and $A_2(1) \neq 0$. Then, it follows from Proposition 1 that there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} H_0(n) &= (1 + o(1)) c_1 x \log^{w-1} x, \\ \sum_{n \leq x} H_0^2(n) &= (1 + o(1)) c_2 x \log^{w^2-1} x, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$(7.3) \quad s_{H_0}(x) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{c_2 x \log^{w^2-1} x}{c_1^2 x^2 \log^{2(w-1)} x} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{c_2 \log^{(w-1)^2} x}{c_1^2 x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since $(w-1)^2 < 1$, it is clear that (7.3) implies condition (7.1). On the other hand, since $H(n) = H_0(n) + O(1)$, it follows that

$$H^2(n) = H_0^2(n) + O(H_0(n)) + O(1),$$

which combined with (7.3) implies (7.2). From this, condition (3.1) is proved.

Hence, it follows that the conditions of Theorem 1 is satisfied, so that the corresponding real number defined by (3.2) is a normal number in base q .

Similarly, one can show that the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and therefore that the corresponding real number defined by (3.4) is also a normal number in base q .

7.3 Choosing $H(n)$ as completely multiplicative functions

7.3.1 Considering sets of integers whose prime factors are all congruent to 1 mod D

Fix an integer $D \geq 3$ and consider the completely multiplicative function $H(n)$ defined on primes p by

$$H(p) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{D}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Applying Proposition 4 with $f(n) = H(n)$, we find that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(7.4) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} H(n) = \left(\frac{e^{\gamma r}}{\Gamma(r)} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{f(p)}{p} \right)^{-1}.$$

Now, setting $\wp_0 := \{p \in \wp : f(p) = H(p) = 1\}$, we have that, in light of Proposition 2,

$$(7.5) \quad \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \wp_0}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{D}}} 1 = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{\phi(D)} \frac{x}{\log x},$$

so that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(7.6) \quad \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \wp_0}} \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\phi(D)} \log \log x + C_1 + o(1)$$

for some constant C_1 . Hence, using (7.6), we have, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{f(p)}{p} \right)^{-1} = \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \wp_0}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \wp_0}} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \wp_0}} \frac{1}{p} + C_2 + o(1) \right\} \\
&= \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\phi(D)} \log \log x + C_3 + o(1) \right\} \\
(7.7) \quad &= (C_4 + o(1))(\log x)^{1/\phi(D)}
\end{aligned}$$

for some constants C_2 , C_3 and $C_4 > 0$.

Using (7.7) in (7.4), we obtain that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} H(n) = \left(\frac{C_4 e^{\gamma r}}{\Gamma(r)} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1-1/\phi(D)}} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

from which it follows, setting $C = \frac{C_4 e^{\gamma r}}{\Gamma(r)}$ and using the trivial fact that in this case $H(n)^2 = H(n)$, that

$$s_H(x) = \frac{\sum_{n \leq x} H^2(n)}{(\sum_{n \leq x} H(n))^2} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{(\log x)^{1-1/\phi(D)}}{Cx} = o\left(\frac{\log x}{x}\right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

implying that condition (3.1) of Theorem 1 is satisfied. On the other hand, to see that the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied, we first observe that $H(p)^2 = H(p)$ for all primes p and that it follows from (7.5) that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} H(p) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{\phi(D)} \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

from which we have that

$$t_H(x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{p \leq x} H(p)} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\phi(D) \log x}{x} = o\left(\frac{\log^2 x}{x}\right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

as required. It is then easy to conclude that the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied.

The conditions of both Theorems 1 and 2 having been verified for this particular function H , we may therefore conclude that the corresponding real numbers defined by (3.2) and (3.4) are normal numbers in base q .

7.3.2 Considering sets of integers generated by particular subsets of primes

Let $\wp$ be a set of primes for which there exists a positive constant $\delta < 1$ satisfying

$$\#\{p \leq x : p \in \wp\} = (\delta + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then, consider the completely multiplicative function $H(n)$ defined on primes p by

$$H(p) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \in \wp, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proceeding in a manner similar to the one used in the preceding subsection, we obtain that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} H(n) = (A + o(1)) \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1-\delta}} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

where A is a computable constant which depends on δ .

Again, proceeding as in preceding subsection, one will reach the conclusion that with this particular function $H(n)$, the corresponding real numbers defined by (3.2) and (3.4) are normal numbers in base q .

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the referee for pointing out some discrepancies and for making various valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] N.L. Bassily, *Distribution of q -ary digits in some sequences of integers*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comput. **14** (1994), 13–22.
- [2] J.-M. De Koninck and I. Kátai, *Nineteen papers on normal numbers*, www.jeanmariedekoninck.mat.ulaval.ca/documents
- [3] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, Dover Books on Mathematics, N.Y., 2006.
- [4] Władysław Narkiewicz, *The development of prime number theory, From Euclid to Hardy and Littlewood*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [5] A. Selberg, *Note on a paper by L. G. Sathe*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **18** (1954), 83–87.
- [6] E. Wirsing, *Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen über multiplikative Funktionen*, Math. Ann. **143** (1961), 75–102.

Jean-Marie De Koninck
 Dép. math. et statistique
 Université Laval
 Québec
 Québec G1V 0A6
 Canada
 jmdk@mat.ulaval.ca

Imre Kátai
 Computer Algebra Department
 Eötvös Loránd University
 1117 Budapest
 Pázmány Péter Sétány I/C
 Hungary
 katai@inf.elte.hu