On the middle divisors of an integer

Jean-Marie De Koninck and A Arthur Bonkli Razafindrasoanaivolala

Dedicated to the memory of Professor János Galambos

Édition du 1er janvier 2020

Abstract

Given a positive integer n, let $\rho_1(n) = \max\{d \mid n : d \leq \sqrt{n}\}$ and $\rho_2(n) = \min\{d \mid n : d \geq \sqrt{n}\}$ stand for the middle divisors of n. We obtain improvements and new estimates for sums involving these two functions.

AMS subject classification numbers: 11N25, 11N37 Key words and phrases: middle divisors of an integer

1 Introduction

Given a positive integer n, we define the numbers $\rho_1(n)$ and $\rho_2(n)$ as

$$\rho_1(n) := \max\{d \mid n : d \le \sqrt{n}\}$$

 $\rho_2(n) := \min\{d \mid n : d \ge \sqrt{n}\}$

and call them the *middle divisors* of n. It is clear that $\rho_1(n)\rho_2(n) = n$ and also that if n is not a perfect square, then $\rho_1(n) < \rho_2(n)$.

In 1976, Tenenbaum [5] proved that

(1.1)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \rho_2(n) = \frac{\pi^2}{12} \frac{x^2}{\log x} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \right)$$

and that, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x_0 = x_0(\varepsilon)$ such that for all $x \geq x_0$,

$$\frac{x^{3/2}}{(\log x)^{\delta+\varepsilon}} < \sum_{n \le x} \rho_1(n) \ll \frac{x^{3/2}}{(\log x)^{\delta} (\log \log x)^{1/2}},$$

where

(1.2)
$$\delta = 1 - \frac{1 + \log \log 2}{\log 2} \approx 0.086071.$$

More recently, Ford [1] showed that

(1.3)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \rho_1(n) \simeq \frac{x^{3/2}}{(\log x)^{\delta} (\log \log x)^{3/2}}.$$

Here, we provide a refinement and a generalisation of (1.1) as well as a generalisation of (1.3), and we then use these results to obtain estimates for $\sum_{n \leq x} \rho_2(n)/\rho_1(n)^r$, for every fixed real r > -1, and for $\sum_{n \leq x} \rho_1(n)/\rho_2(n)$, thereby improving an earlier estimate by Roesler [4] in the case of the second sum.

2 Main theorems

Theorem 1. Let a > 0 be a real number. Then, for each positive integer k,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \rho_2(n)^a = c_0 \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log x} + c_1 \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log^2 x} + \dots + c_{k-1} \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log^k x} + O\left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{\log^{k+1} x}\right)$$

where, for $\ell = 0, 1, ..., k - 1$, $c_{\ell} = c_{\ell}(a) = \frac{\ell!}{(a+1)^{\ell+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{(a+1)^{j}(-1)^{j}\zeta^{(j)}(a+1)}{j!}$ with ζ standing for the Riemann zeta function.

Theorem 2. Let a > 0 be a real number and let δ be as in (1.2). Then,

(2.1)
$$\sum_{n < x} \rho_1(n)^a \asymp \frac{x^{\frac{a+2}{2}}}{(\log x)^{\delta} (\log \log x)^{3/2}}.$$

Theorem 3. Given any integer $k \geq 1$ and any real number r > -1, we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{\rho_2(n)}{\rho_1(n)^r} = e_0 \frac{x^2}{\log x} + e_1 \frac{x^2}{\log^2 x} + \dots + e_{k-1} \frac{x^2}{\log^k x} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{\log^{k+1} x}\right)$$

where $e_0 = \frac{\zeta(r+2)}{2}$ and for each $1 \le \ell \le k-1$,

$$e_{\ell} = \left(\frac{r+2}{2}\right)c_{\ell} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{rc_{\nu}}{2} \prod_{m=1}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right),$$

with, for each $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, \ell$,

$$c_{\nu} = \frac{\nu!}{(r+2)^{\nu+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} \frac{(r+2)^{j}(-1)^{j} \zeta^{(j)}(r+2)}{j!}.$$

Remark. Interestingly, as a consequence of Theorem 3,

$$T_r(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \frac{\rho_2(n)}{\rho_1(n)^r} \sim \frac{\zeta(r+2)}{2} \frac{x^2}{\log x} \quad \text{as } x \to \infty,$$

implying that all sums $T_r(x)$ are of the same order, independently of the chosen number r > -1. For instance, although it may at first appear counterintuitive, we do have that $\sum_{n \le x} \rho_2(n) \sqrt{\rho_1(n)} \approx \sum_{n \le x} \frac{\rho_2(n)}{\sqrt{\rho_1(n)}}.$

Theorem 4. With δ as in (1.2), we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{\rho_1(n)}{\rho_2(n)} \simeq \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\delta} (\log \log x)^{3/2}}.$$

3 Preliminary results

Let $\pi(x)$ stand for the number of primes not exceeding x and let $\text{Li}(x) := \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t}$. We will be using the prime number theorem with an error term which is sufficient for our purposes, namely the original one found by de la Vallée Poussin [6] in 1899.

Proposition 1. (PRIME NUMBER THEOREM) There exists a positive constant C such that

$$\pi(x) - \operatorname{Li}(x) = O\left(x \exp\{-C\sqrt{\log x}\}\right).$$

Lemma 1. Assume that $n \leq x$ with $\rho_2(n) > x^{2/3}$. Then, $\rho_2(n)$ is a prime.

Proof. Since $\rho_2(n) > x^{2/3}$, we have that $\rho_1(n) < x^{1/3}$. Set $m = \rho_2(n)$. It is clear that both $\rho_1(m)$ and $\rho_2(m)$ are divisors of n. Hence, in order to prove that $\rho_2(n)$ is prime, it is sufficient to prove that $\rho_2(m) = m$. Now, since $\rho_2(m) \ge \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{\rho_2(n)} > x^{1/3} > \rho_1(n)$, it follows that $\rho_1(n) < \rho_2(m) \le \rho_2(n)$, which implies, by the definition of $\rho_1(n)$ and $\rho_2(n)$ that $\rho_2(m) = \rho_2(n) = m$, thus proving our claim.

The following result is not new. We include it here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2. Given any fixed real number a > 0,

(3.1)
$$S(x) = S_a(x) := \sum_{p \le x} p^a = \int_2^x \frac{t^a}{\log t} dt + O\left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{e^{C\sqrt{\log x}}}\right).$$

Proof. Using partial summation with $A(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} a(n) = \pi(x)$ and $\varphi(t) = t^a$, we have

(3.2)
$$S(x) = x^{a}\pi(x) - \int_{2}^{x} at^{a-1}\pi(t) dt.$$

Using Proposition 1, it follows from (3.2) and integration by parts that

$$S(x) = x^{a}\pi(x) - a \int_{2}^{x} t^{a-1} \left(\operatorname{Li}(t) + O(te^{-C\sqrt{\log t}}) \right) dt$$

$$= x^{a}\pi(x) - a \int_{2}^{x} t^{a-1} \operatorname{Li}(t) dt + O\left(\int_{2}^{x} t^{a} e^{-C\sqrt{\log t}} dt \right)$$

$$= x^{a}\pi(x) - a \left(\frac{t^{a}}{a} \operatorname{Li}(t) \Big|_{2}^{x} - \int_{2}^{x} \frac{t^{a}}{a} \frac{1}{\log t} dt \right) + O\left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{e^{C\sqrt{\log x}}} \right)$$

$$= x^{a}\pi(x) - x^{a} \operatorname{Li}(x) + \int_{2}^{x} \frac{t^{a}}{\log t} dt + O\left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{e^{C\sqrt{\log x}}} \right).$$
(3.3)

Using Proposition 1 one more time, we have that

$$x^{a}\pi(x) - x^{a}\operatorname{Li}(x) = x^{a}(\pi(x) - \operatorname{Li}(x)) = O\left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{e^{C\sqrt{\log x}}}\right),$$

which substituted in (3.3) completes the proof of (3.1).

Lemma 3. Let a > 0 be an arbitrary real number. Then,

(3.4)
$$\sum_{\sqrt{x}$$

Proof. We follow an approach used by Naslund [3] to estimate a similar sum. Let B be a positive integer. Then,

$$\sum_{x/B
$$= \sum_{n \le B-1} n(S(x/n) - S(x/(n+1)))$$

$$= S(x) + S(x/2) + \dots + S(x/(B-1)) - (B-1)S(x/B)$$

$$= \sum_{n \le B-1} (S(x/n) - S(x/B)).$$$$

Using Lemma 2 in this last estimate, we obtain, provided that $B \ge x^{1/4}$,

$$\sum_{x/B
$$= \int_{x/B}^x \frac{t^a}{\log t} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{t} \right\rfloor dt + O\left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{e^{C\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\log x}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{a+1}}\right).$$$$

Choosing $B = \lfloor \sqrt{x} \rfloor$ allows us to write this last equation as

(3.5)
$$\sum_{\sqrt{x}$$

thereby completing the proof of (3.4).

Lemma 4. Let a > 0 be an arbitrary real number. Then,

(3.6)
$$\sum_{p \le x} p^a \left\lfloor \frac{x}{p} \right\rfloor = \int_2^x \frac{t^a}{\log t} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{t} \right\rfloor dt + O\left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{e^{\frac{C}{2}\sqrt{\log x}}}\right).$$

Proof. Since the two quantities $\sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} p^a \left\lfloor \frac{x}{p} \right\rfloor$ and $\int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{t^a}{\log t} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{t} \right\rfloor dt$ are each of smaller order than the error term appearing in (3.5), we may indeed conclude from (3.5) that (3.6) holds.

Lemma 5. For all s > 1 and for each integer $k \ge 1$,

$$\zeta^{(k)}(s) = (-1)^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log^k n}{n^s}.$$

Proof. Differentiating k times with respect to s both sides of equation $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ yields the result.

Lemma 6. Let a > 0 be an arbitrary real number. Then, for each integer $k \ge 1$,

$$\int_{2}^{x} \frac{t^{a} \lfloor x/t \rfloor}{\log t} dt = c_{0} \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log x} + c_{1} \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log^{2} x} + \dots + c_{k-1} \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log^{k} x} + O\left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{\log^{k+1} x}\right),$$

where

$$c_{\ell} = c_{\ell}(a) = \frac{\ell!}{(a+1)^{\ell+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{(a+1)^j (-1)^j \zeta^{(j)}(a+1)}{j!}.$$

Proof. We use the same technique that Naslund [2] used to estimate a similar integral. With the change of variable t = x/u, we obtain

$$\nu_a(x) := \int_2^x \frac{t^a \lfloor x/t \rfloor}{\log t} dt = x^{a+1} \int_1^{x/2} \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u^{a+2} \log \left(\frac{x}{u}\right)} du$$
$$= \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log x} \int_1^{x/2} \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u^{a+2}} \left(1 - \frac{\log u}{\log x}\right)^{-1} du.$$

Since $1 \le u \le x/2$, we have $\frac{\log u}{\log x} < 1$. We can therefore write that for each integer $k \ge 1$,

$$\left(1 - \frac{\log u}{\log x}\right)^{-1} = 1 + \frac{\log u}{\log x} + \dots + \left(\frac{\log u}{\log x}\right)^{k-1} + \left(\frac{\log u}{\log x}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{\log u}{\log x}\right)^{-1}.$$

From this, it follows that

$$\nu_{a}(x) = \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log x} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\log^{\ell} x} \int_{1}^{x/2} \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u^{a+2}} \log^{\ell} u \, du + \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log^{k+1} x} \int_{1}^{x/2} \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u^{a+2}} \log^{k+1} u \left(1 - \frac{\log u}{\log x}\right)^{-1} du.$$

Since the integral $\int_1^{x/2} \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u^{a+2}} \log^{k+1} u \left(1 - \frac{\log u}{\log x}\right)^{-1} du$ converges, we have that

$$\nu_{a}(x) = \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log x} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\log^{\ell} x} \int_{1}^{x/2} \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u^{a+2}} \log^{\ell} u \ du + O\left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{\log^{k+1} x}\right)
(3.7) = \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log x} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\log^{\ell} x} \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u^{a+2}} \log^{\ell} u \ du - \int_{x/2}^{\infty} \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u^{a+2}} \log^{\ell} u \ du \right) + O\left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{\log^{k+1} x}\right).$$

On the other hand, since

$$\int_{x/2}^{\infty} \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u^{a+2}} \log^{\ell} u \ du \le \int_{x/2}^{\infty} \frac{\log^{\ell} u}{u^{a+1}} du = O\left(\frac{\log^{\ell} x}{x^{a}}\right),$$

it follows from (3.7) that

$$\nu_a(x) = \frac{x^{a+1}}{\log x} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \frac{c_\ell}{\log^\ell x} + O\left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{\log^{k+1} x}\right),$$

where $c_{\ell} = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u^{a+2}} \log^{\ell} u \ du$.

It remains to obtain explicit expressions for the constants c_{ℓ} . We have

$$c_{\ell} = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u^{a+2}} \log^{\ell} u \ du = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} s \int_{s}^{s+1} \frac{\log^{\ell} u}{u^{a+2}} du.$$

Performing integration by parts k times yields

$$\int_{s}^{s+1} \frac{\log^{\ell} u}{u^{a+2}} du = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-i)!(a+1)^{i+1}} \left(\frac{\log^{\ell-i} s}{s^{a+1}} - \frac{\log^{\ell-i} (s+1)}{(s+1)^{a+1}} \right),$$

so that, using Lemma 5, we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} s \int_{s}^{s+1} \frac{\log^{\ell} u}{u^{a+2}} du &= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(s \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-i)!(a+1)^{i+1}} \left(\frac{\log^{\ell-i} s}{s^{a+1}} - \frac{\log^{\ell-i} (s+1)}{(s+1)^{a+1}} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-i)!(a+1)^{i+1}} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} s \left(\frac{\log^{\ell-i} s}{s^{a+1}} - \frac{\log^{\ell-i} (s+1)}{(s+1)^{a+1}} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-i)!(a+1)^{i+1}} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log^{\ell-i} s}{s^{a+1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-i)!} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-i} \zeta^{(\ell-i)} (a+1)}{(a+1)^{i+1}}. \end{split}$$

Setting $j = \ell - i$, we conclude that

$$c_{\ell} = \frac{\ell!}{(a+1)^{\ell+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{(a+1)^{j} (-1)^{j} \zeta^{(j)}(a+1)}{j!},$$

thus completing the proof of Lemma 6.

Let H(x, y, z) stand for the number of positive integers $n \leq x$ having a divisor in the interval (y, z].

Theorem A. (FORD [1], THÉORÈME 1(v)) Let x, y, z be real numbers all strictly positive. If x > 100000, $100 \le y \le z - 1$, $y \le \sqrt{x}$ and $2y \le z \le y^2$, then

$$H(x, y, z) \approx xu^{\delta} \left(\log \frac{2}{u}\right)^{-3/2},$$

where u is defined implicitly by $z = y^{1+u}$ and where δ is the constant defined in (1.2).

Theorem B. (FORD [1], THÉORÈME 2) For $y_0 \le y \le \sqrt{x}$, $z \ge y + 1$ and $\frac{x}{\log^{10} z} \le \Delta \le x$, we have

$$H(x, y, z) - H(x - \Delta, y, z) \simeq \frac{\Delta}{x} H(x, y, z)$$
.

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Using Lemma 1, we easily obtain that

$$\sum_{n \le x} \rho_2(n)^a = \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \rho_2(n) > x^{2/3}}} \rho_2(n)^a + O\left(x^{\frac{2a+3}{3}}\right) = \sum_{x^{2/3}
$$= \sum_{x^{2/3}
$$= \sum_{p \le x} p^a \left\lfloor \frac{x}{p} \right\rfloor - \sum_{p \le x^{2/3}} p^a \left\lfloor \frac{x}{p} \right\rfloor + O\left(x^{\frac{2a+3}{3}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{p \le x} 1 + O\left(x^{\frac{2a+3}{3}}\right)$$$$$$

say. From Lemma 2, we obtain that

(4.2)
$$\Sigma_2 = \sum_{p \le x^{2/3}} p^a \left\lfloor \frac{x}{p} \right\rfloor \le x \sum_{p \le x^{2/3}} p^{a-1} \ll x \int_2^{x^{2/3}} \frac{t^{a-1}}{\log t} dt \ll \frac{x^{\frac{2a+3}{3}}}{\log x}.$$

Hence, it follows from (4.1) and (4.2) that

(4.3)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \rho_2(n)^a = \sum_{p \le x} p^a \left\lfloor \frac{x}{p} \right\rfloor + O\left(x^{\frac{2a+3}{3}}\right).$$

Finally, combining the results of Lemmas 4 and 6 in (4.3), the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

5 Proof of Theorem 2

Observe that the relation (2.1) we need to prove is equivalent to

(5.1)
$$\frac{x^{\frac{a+2}{2}}}{(\log x)^{\delta} (\log \log x)^{3/2}} \ll \sum_{n \le x} \rho_1(n)^a \ll \frac{x^{\frac{a+2}{2}}}{(\log x)^{\delta} (\log \log x)^{3/2}}.$$

We will first show the first inequality in relation (5.1). We start by observing that if $x/2 < n \le x$, then n has a divisor d_1 satisfying $\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2} < d_1 \le \sqrt{x}$ if and only if $\rho_1(n) > \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}$. It follows from this that

$$\sum_{n \le x} \rho_1(n)^a \ge \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \le x \\ \rho_1(n) > \sqrt{x}/2}} \rho_1(n)^a > \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}\right)^a \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \le x \\ \rho_1(n) > \sqrt{x}/2}} 1$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}\right)^{a} \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ \exists \ d_{1} \mid n \\ d_{1} \in (\sqrt{x}/2, \sqrt{x}]}}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}\right)^{a} \left(H\left(x, \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}, \sqrt{x}\right) - H\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}, \sqrt{x}\right)\right).$$

Using Theorem B followed by Theorem A (with $\Delta = x/2$), we find that

$$\begin{split} H\left(x,\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2},\sqrt{x}\right) - H\left(\frac{x}{2},\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2},\sqrt{x}\right) & \asymp \frac{x/2}{x} \cdot H\left(x,\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2},\sqrt{x}\right) \\ & \asymp x \cdot \left(\frac{2\log 2}{\log x}\right)^{\delta} \cdot (\log\log x)^{-3/2} \\ & \asymp \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\delta} (\log\log x)^{3/2}}. \end{split}$$

Combining these last two estimates, it follows that

$$\sum_{n \le x} \rho_1(n)^a \gg \frac{x^{\frac{a+2}{2}}}{(\log x)^{\delta} (\log \log x)^{3/2}},$$

thus establishing the first inequality in (5.1).

In order to prove the second inequality in (5.1), first observe that if $n \leq x$, then it is obvious that $\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2^k} < \rho_1(n) \leq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2^{k-1}}$ for some integer $k \geq 1$, and therefore that

(5.2)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \rho_1(n)^a \le \sum_{k \ge 1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2^{k-1}}\right)^a H\left(x, \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2^k}, \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2^{k-1}}\right).$$

Then, using Theorem A, we find that

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2^{k-1}}\right)^a H\left(x, \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2^k}, \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2^{k-1}}\right) \ll \sum_{k\geq 1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2^{k-1}}\right)^a x \cdot \frac{1}{(\log x)^{\delta}} \frac{1}{(\log \log x)^{3/2}}$$

$$\ll \frac{x^{\frac{a+2}{2}}}{(\log x)^{\delta} (\log \log x)^{3/2}}.$$
(5.3)

Combining estimates (5.2) and (5.3), the second inequality in (5.1) is proved.

6 Proof of Theorem 3

First observe that for each positive integer n, we have $\frac{\rho_2(n)}{\rho_1(n)^r} = \frac{\rho_2(n)^{r+1}}{n^r}$. On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 1 that for each positive integer k,

(6.1)
$$A(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \rho_2(n)^{r+1} = \frac{x^{r+2}}{\log x} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \frac{c_\ell}{\log^\ell x} + \vartheta(x),$$

where

$$\vartheta(x) = O\left(\frac{x^{r+2}}{\log^{k+1} x}\right)$$
 and $c_{\ell} = \frac{\ell!}{(r+2)^{\ell+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{(r+2)^j (-1)^j \zeta^{(j)}(r+2)}{j!}.$

Hence, using (6.1) and partial summation, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{\rho_2(n)}{\rho_1(n)^r} = \sum_{n \le x} \frac{\rho_2(n)^{r+1}}{n^r} = 1 + \sum_{2 \le n \le x} \frac{\rho_2(n)^{r+1}}{n^r} \\
= 1 + \frac{A(x) - 1}{x^r} + \int_2^x \frac{r}{t^{r+1}} (A(t) - 1) dt \\
= \frac{A(x)}{x^r} + O(1) + \int_2^x \frac{r}{t^{r+1}} A(t) dt \\
= \frac{x^2}{\log x} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \frac{c_\ell}{\log^\ell x} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{\log^{k+1} x}\right) + r \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} c_\ell \int_2^x \frac{t}{\log^{\ell+1} t} dt + r \int_2^x \frac{\vartheta(t)}{t^{r+1}} dt.$$
(6.2)

It is easily seen that

(6.3)
$$r \int_{2}^{x} \frac{\vartheta(t)}{t^{r+1}} dt \ll \int_{2}^{x} \frac{t}{\log^{k+1} t} dt \ll \frac{x^{2}}{\log^{k+1} x}.$$

Moreover, integrating by parts, we have

$$c_{k-1} \int_{2}^{x} \frac{t}{\log^{k} t} dt = \frac{c_{k-1}}{2} \frac{x^{2}}{\log^{k} x} + O\left(\frac{x^{2}}{\log^{k+1} x}\right)$$

and for $0 \le \ell \le k - 2$,

$$c_{\ell} \int_{2}^{x} \frac{t}{\log^{\ell+1} t} dt = \frac{c_{\ell}}{2} \frac{x^{2}}{\log^{\ell+1} x} + \frac{c_{\ell}}{2} \sum_{i=\ell}^{k-2} \frac{x^{2}}{\log^{i+2} x} \prod_{m=\ell}^{i} \left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{x^{2}}{\log^{k+1} x}\right).$$

Summing on ℓ from 0 to k-1, we then obtain that

(6.4)
$$r \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} c_{\ell} \int_{2}^{x} \frac{t}{\log^{\ell+1} t} dt = \frac{x^{2}}{\log x} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \frac{d_{\ell}}{\log^{\ell} x} + O\left(\frac{x^{2}}{\log^{k+1} x}\right),$$

where $d_0 = \frac{rc_0}{2}$, and for $1 \le \ell \le k-1$,

(6.5)
$$d_{\ell} = \frac{rc_{\ell}}{2} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{rc_{\nu}}{2} \prod_{m=\nu}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right).$$

This is why, combining estimates (6.2), (6.3), (6.4), (6.5), we may conclude that

$$\sum_{n \le r} \frac{\rho_2(n)}{\rho_1(n)^r} = \frac{x^2}{\log x} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \frac{e_\ell}{\log^\ell x} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{\log^{k+1} x}\right)$$

with $e_0 = \frac{(r+2)c_0}{2}$ and for $1 \le \ell \le k-1$,

$$e_{\ell} = \left(\frac{r+2}{2}\right)c_{\ell} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{rc_{\nu}}{2} \prod_{m=\nu}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right).$$

7 Proof of Theorem 4

First observe that for each positive integer n, we have $\frac{\rho_1(n)}{\rho_2(n)} = \frac{\rho_1(n)^2}{n}$. Set

$$A(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \rho_1(n)^2$$
 and $\alpha := \sum_{n < e^e} \rho_1(n)^2$.

Then, using Theorem 1 along with partial summation, we obtain that

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{\rho_1(n)}{\rho_2(n)} = \sum_{n \le x} \frac{\rho_1(n)^2}{n} = O(1) + \sum_{e^e \le n \le x} \frac{\rho_1(n)^2}{n}$$

$$= O(1) + \frac{A(x) - \alpha}{x} + \int_{e^e}^x \frac{1}{t^2} (A(t) - \alpha) dt$$

$$= \frac{A(x)}{x} + O(1) + \int_{e^e}^x \frac{A(t)}{t^2} dt$$

$$\approx \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\delta} (\log \log x)^{3/2}} + \int_{e^e}^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^{\delta} (\log \log t)^{3/2}}.$$

Since

$$\int_{e^e}^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^{\delta} (\log \log t)^{3/2}} \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\delta} (\log \log x)^{3/2}},$$

the proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

References

- [1] K. Ford, The distribution of integers with a divisor in a given interval, Annals of Mathematics 168 (2008), 367-433.
- [2] E. Naslund, The average largest prime factor, Integers 13 (2013), 5 pages.
- [3] E. Naslund, The median largest prime factor, J. Number Theory 141 (2014), 109–118.
- [4] F. Roesler, How quadratic are the natural numbers?, Arch. Math. (Basel) 73 (1999), no. 3, 193-198.
- [5] G. Tenenbaum, Sur deux fonctions de diviseurs, J. London Math. Soc. 14 (1976), 521–526; Corrigendum: J. London Math. Soc. 17 (1978), 212.
- [6] C.J. de la Vallée Poussin, Sur la fonction $\zeta(s)$ de Riemann et le nombre de nombres premiers inférieurs à une suite donnée, Acad. Royale Sci. Lettres Beaux-Arts Belgique **59** (1899); reprinted in Colloque sur la Théorie des Nombres, Bruxelles, 1955, pp. 9–66. Georges Thone, Liège; Masson and Cie, Paris, 1956.