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#### Abstract

In the spirit of a famous theorem of Hédi Daboussi, we examine the corresponding exponential sums where the sums run over particular subsets of the set of positive integers.


## 1. Introduction

According to an old result of H . Weyl [5], a sequence of real numbers $\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} e\left(k \xi_{n}\right)=0$ for every non zero integer $k$. Here and thereafter, $e(y)$ stands for $\exp \{2 \pi i y\}$. Weyl's criterion represents in itself an important motivation for studying exponential sums. These sums can be of various forms. Before we present these, let us provide some key notation. Let $T=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$ and $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}$ : $:|z| \leq 1\}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ stand for the set of multiplicative functions and $\mathcal{M}^{*}$ for the set of completely multiplicative functions. Finally, let $\mathcal{M}_{U}$ be the set of those $f \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $f(n) \in U$. Also, writing $\{x\}$ to denote the fractional part of
$x$ and given a set of $N$ real numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$, we define its discrepancy as the quantity

$$
D\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right):=\sup _{[a, b) \subseteq[0,1)}\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in[a, b)}} 1-(b-a)\right| .
$$

In 1974, Hédi Daboussi (see Daboussi and Delange [1]) proved that, for every irrational number $\alpha$,

$$
\sup _{f \in \mathcal{M}_{U}} \frac{1}{x}\left|\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e(n \alpha)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

Letting $\mathcal{A}$ stand for the set of all additive functions, Daboussi and Delange's theorem clearly implies the following result.

Let $u \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$, and consider the corresponding sequence $\left(\theta_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $\theta_{n}=u(n)+n \alpha, n=1,2, \ldots$. Then, the sequence $\left(\theta_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 . Moreover, the discrepancy of all such sequences $\left(\theta_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies

$$
\sup _{u \in \mathcal{A}} D_{N}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{N}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty
$$

In 1986, the second author [3] generalised the Daboussi theorem by proving the following.

Theorem A. Let $\wp^{*}$ stand for a set of primes for which $\sum_{p \in \wp^{*}} 1 / p=\infty$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of those arithmetic functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which $|f(n)| \leq 1$ for all positive integers $n$ and satisfying the condition

$$
n=p m,(m, p)=1, p \in \wp^{*} \text { implies that } f(n)=f(p) f(m)
$$

Further let $(a(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $|a(n)| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that, for every $p_{1}, p_{2} \in \wp^{*}, p_{1} \neq p_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} a\left(p_{1} n\right) \overline{a\left(p_{2} n\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{x}\left|\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) a(n)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.1. Let $\alpha$ be an arbitrary irrational number. Since the function $a(n):=e(n \alpha)$ satisfies condition (1.1), the Daboussi theorem also applies to this function $a(n)$.

In this paper, we obtain similar results when the sums appearing in (1.2) run over particular subsets of $\mathbb{N}$.

## 2. Examples

Consider the following three examples.
Example 1. Let $0<\ell<k$ be two co-prime integers. Let $\varphi$ stand for Euler's totient function and consider the function

$$
h(n):=\frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \sum_{\chi} \overline{\chi(\ell)} \chi(n)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n \equiv \ell \quad(\bmod k) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where the above sum runs over all characters modulo $k$. Given any arithmetic function $a(n)$, we then have that

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv \ell(\bmod k)}} h(n) a(n)=\frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \sum_{\chi} \overline{\chi(\ell)} \sum_{n \leq x} h(n) \chi(n) a(n) .
$$

Observe that $h \chi \in \mathcal{M}_{U}$ and that, in light of Theorem A and setting

$$
S:=\{n \in \mathbb{N}: n \equiv \ell \quad(\bmod k)\} \quad \text { and } \quad S(x):=\#\{n \leq x: n \in S\}
$$

we have that

$$
\sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}_{U}} \frac{1}{S(x)}\left|\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in S}} f(n) a(n)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

Example 2. For each $i=1,2, \ldots, r$, let $g_{i}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a multiplicative function. Moreover, for $i=1, \ldots, r$, let $0<\ell_{i}<k_{i}$ be co-prime integers such that $g_{i}(n) \equiv \ell_{i}\left(\bmod k_{i}\right)$. Further set

$$
S:=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: g_{i}(n) \equiv \ell_{i} \quad\left(\bmod k_{i}\right) \text { for } i=1, \ldots, r\right\} .
$$

Then, assuming that there exists a number $c>0$ such that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(x)}{x} \geq c$ and letting $a(n)$ be as in Theorem A, we have

$$
\sup _{f \in \mathcal{M}_{U}} \frac{1}{S(x)}\left|\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in S}} f(n) a(n)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

Example 3. Let $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{r} \subseteq[0,1)$ be finite unions of intervals. Let $P_{1}(x), \ldots$, $\ldots P_{r}(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, each of positive degree, and let $Q_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}(x):=m_{1} P_{1}(x)+$ $+\cdots+m_{r} P_{r}(x)$, where $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $Q_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}(x)-Q_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}(0)$
has at least one irrational coefficient for each $r$-tuple $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0)$. Further set $S:=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}:\left\{P_{\ell}(n)\right\} \in J_{\ell}\right.$ for $\left.\ell=1, \ldots, r\right\}$ and let $\lambda$ stand for the Lebesgue measure. Kátai [4] proved that under these conditions,

$$
\sup _{g \in \mathcal{M}_{U}}\left|\frac{1}{x} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in S}} g(n)-\frac{\lambda\left(J_{1}\right) \cdots \lambda\left(J_{r}\right)}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} g(n)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty .
$$

## 3. A generalisation of Theorem A

Theorem 1. Let $\wp^{*}$ and $a(n)$ be as in Theorem $A$. Let $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}$ be additive functions, each with a continuous limit distribution. For each $\ell=1, \ldots, r$, let $\mathcal{J}_{\ell}:=\left[\xi_{1}^{(\ell)}, \xi_{2}^{(\ell)}\right)$ be intervals such that the set

$$
S:=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: u_{\ell}(n) \in \mathcal{J}_{\ell} \text { for } \ell=1, \ldots, r\right\}
$$

has infinitely many elements and is also such that $S(x):=\#\{n \leq x: n \in S\}$ satisfies $\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(x)}{x} \geq d>0$. Then,

$$
\sup _{f \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{S(x)}\left|\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in S}} f(n) a(n)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

Remark 3.1. Observe that according to the Erdős-Wintner theorem [2], an additive function $f$ has a limit distribution if and only if each of the three series

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \\|f(p)|>1}} \frac{1}{p}, \quad \sum_{\substack{p \\|f(p)| \leq 1}} \frac{f(p)}{p}, \quad \sum_{\substack{p \\|f(p)| \leq 1}} \frac{f^{2}(p)}{p}
$$

converge. It is also known that the limit distribution is continuous if and only if $\sum_{f(p) \neq 0} \frac{1}{p}=\infty$.

Proof. Given an arbitrary interval $I=\left[\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$, define the corresponding function

$$
e_{I}(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & x \in I, \\
0 & \text { if } & x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, let $M$ be a positive integer such that $\eta_{1}+M>\eta_{2}$ and further let

$$
L_{M}:=\bigcup_{h=-\infty}^{\infty}(I+h M) \quad \text { so that } \quad e_{L_{M}}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & x \in L_{M}, \\
0 & \text { if } & x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash L_{M} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the function $e_{L_{M}}(x)$ is periodic modulo $M$, we have that

$$
e_{L_{M}}(x)=\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{h} e\left(\frac{h x}{M}\right), \quad \text { where } c_{0}=\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}
$$

Further let

$$
h_{L_{M}}(x):=\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} e_{L_{M}}\left(x+y_{1}+y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2}=\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{h}(\delta) e\left(\frac{h x}{M}\right)
$$

where $c_{0}(\delta)=\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}$ and $\left|c_{h}(\delta)\right| \leq C\left(\frac{M}{\delta}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{h^{2}}$ for each $h \neq 0$, for some positive constant $C$.

It is clear that $h_{L_{M}}(x)=e_{L_{M}}(x)$ if $x \in\left[\eta_{1}+2 \delta, \eta_{2}-2 \delta\right)$ and if $x \in$ $\in\left[-M+\eta_{2}, M-\eta_{1}\right] \backslash\left[\eta_{1}-2 \delta, \eta_{2}+2 \delta\right]$. Moreover, we have that

$$
0 \leq e_{L_{M}}(x)-h_{L_{M}}(x) \leq 1 \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $M$ be an integer sufficiently large so that there exists a number $x_{0}>0$ for which

$$
\#\left\{n \leq x: \max _{\ell=1, \ldots, r}\left|u_{\ell}(n)\right| \geq M / 2\right\}<\varepsilon x \quad \text { for all } x \geq x_{0}
$$

and also

$$
\max _{\ell=1, \ldots, r}\left(\left|\xi_{1}^{(\ell)}\right|+\left|\xi_{2}^{(\ell)}\right|\right) \leq \frac{M}{2}
$$

Observe that such an integer $M$ must exist because each function $u_{\ell}(n)$ is assumed to have a limit distribution.

Now, let $R$ be sufficiently large so that

$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \sum_{|m|>R}\left|c_{m}^{(\ell)}(\delta)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

Then, further define

$$
h_{\ell}^{(R)}(x):=\sum_{m=-R}^{R} c_{m}^{(\ell)}(\delta) e\left(\frac{m x}{\delta}\right) \quad(\ell=1, \ldots, r)
$$

and set

$$
E_{S}(n):=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & n \in S \\
0 & \text { if } & n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash S .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Observe that

$$
\sum_{n \leq x}\left|E_{S}(n)-h_{1}^{(R)}\left(u_{1}(n)\right) \cdots h_{r}^{(R)}\left(u_{r}(n)\right)\right| \leq C_{1} \varepsilon x
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a positive constant depending on $M$ and $\delta$, only. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in S}} f(n) a(n)-\sum_{\left|m_{1}\right| \leq R, \ldots,\left|m_{r}\right| \leq R} c_{m_{1}}^{(1)}(\delta) \cdots c_{m_{r}}^{(r)}(\delta) T\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)\right| \leq C_{1} \varepsilon x, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)=\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e\left(\frac{m_{1} u_{1}(n)}{M}\right) \cdots e\left(\frac{m_{r} u_{r}(n)}{M}\right) a(n) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
g(n):=e\left(\frac{m_{1} u_{1}(n)}{M}\right) \cdots e\left(\frac{m_{r} u_{r}(n)}{M}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{U},
$$

we have that $f(n) g(n) \in \mathcal{M}_{U}$, implying that the expression in (3.2) is $o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{M}_{U}} \frac{1}{S(x)}\left|\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in S}} f(n) a(n)\right| \leq C_{1} \varepsilon .
$$

Since this inequality holds for any $\varepsilon>0$, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.
The following two results can also be proved along the same lines.
Theorem 2. Let $\wp^{*}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}$ and $S$ be as in Theorem 1. Let $\left(\kappa_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers for which the corresponding sequence $\left(\theta_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $\theta_{n}:=\kappa_{p_{1} n}-\kappa_{p_{2} n}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 for every $p_{1} \neq p_{2}$, $p_{1}, p_{2} \in \wp^{*}$. For each additive function $v$ and positive integer $N$, consider the expression

$$
D_{N, S}(v):=\sup _{[a, b) \subseteq[0,1)} \frac{1}{S(N)}\left|\#\left\{n \leq N: n \in S, v(n)+\kappa_{n} \in[a, b)\right\}-(b-a)\right| .
$$

Then,

$$
\sup _{v \in \mathcal{A}} D_{N, S}(v) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty
$$

Theorem 3. Let $Q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be such that $Q(0)=0$ and $Q(x) \notin \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Set $a(n):=e(Q(n))$. Then,

$$
\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} a\left(p_{1} n\right) \overline{a\left(p_{2} n\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

for every $p_{1} \neq p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2} \in \wp^{*}$. Moreover, assuming that $m_{1} P_{1}(x)+\cdots+$ $+m_{r} P_{r}(x)+Q(x) \notin \mathbb{Z}[x]$ for every $r$-tuple $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}$. Then,

$$
\sup _{f \in \mathcal{M}_{U}} \frac{1}{S(x)}\left|\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in S}} f(n) a(n)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$
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