Digit patterns in real numbers created from permutations

Jean-Marie De Koninck and Imre Kátai

Édition du 24 juillet 2020

Abstract

Given a positive integer k, we construct a binary number $0.a_1a_2a_3...$ having the property that any sequence $a_{m+1}...a_{m+k}$ of k consecutive digits from its binary expansion appears with a frequency directly related to the various permutations of the set $\{1, 2, ..., k+1\}$.

AMS Subject Classification: 05A05, 20B05 Keywords: Permutations, digit patterns

1 Introduction

Given a positive integer k, let Π_k be the set of the permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}$. Various interesting aspects of this set Π_k can be studied; see for instance the book of Pemmaraju [1]. Here, we use this set to construct real numbers with an interesting property, as follows. Given $\pi \in \Pi_k$, let $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{k+1}$ be defined by $\pi(i) = j_i$. Further set, for each $h = 1, 2, \ldots, k$,

$$\rho(j_h, j_{h+1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_{h+1} > j_h, \\ 0 & \text{if } j_{h+1} < j_h. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, given $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k) \in \{0, 1\}^k$, set

$$D(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k) := \#\{\pi \in \Pi_k : \rho(\pi(i), \pi(i+1)) = \delta_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

and

$$\kappa(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k) := \frac{D(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k)}{(k+1)!}.$$

As we will see in Section 4,

$$\kappa(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k) \ge \frac{1}{(k+1)!}$$
 $(k=1, 2, \dots).$

To illustrate the function $\kappa(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k)$, if we choose the case k = 4, we obtain the following table.

$(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4)$	$D(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4)$	$\kappa(\delta_1,\delta_2,\delta_3,\delta_4)$
(0,0,0,0)	1	1/120
(0,0,0,1)	4	1/30
(0,0,1,0)	9	3/40
(0,0,1,1)	6	1/20
(0,1,0,0)	9	3/40
(0,1,0,1)	16	2/15
(0,1,1,0)	11	11/120
(0,1,1,1)	4	1/30
(1,0,0,0)	4	1/30
(1,0,0,1)	11	11/120
(1,0,1,0)	16	2/15
(1,0,1,1)	9	3/40
(1,1,0,0)	6	1/20
(1,1,0,1)	9	3/40
(1,1,1,0)	4	1/30
(1,1,1,1)	1	1/120

Our purpose in this short paper is to construct some binary number

$$\alpha = 0.a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots,$$

that is, where each digit $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, and such that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\#\{m\leq N: a_{m+1}\ldots a_{m+k}=\delta_1\ldots\delta_k\}=\kappa(\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_k).$$

To construct α , we proceed as follows. First we set

$$\mathcal{F}_N = [e^N, e^{N+1})$$
 and $\mathcal{L}_N = [\log N, N]$ $(N = 1, 2, ...).$

Let $p(n) = p_N(n)$ stand for the smallest prime divisor of n which is located in the interval \mathcal{L}_N . Observe that the number of those $n \in \mathcal{F}_N$ which do not contain any prime divisors in \mathcal{L}_N is bounded by

$$ce^{N} \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{L}_{N} \\ p \in \rho}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \le ce^{N} \frac{\log \log N}{\log N}.$$

To each number $n \in \mathcal{F}_N$, we associate the number

$$\epsilon_n = \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } p(n+1) > p(n) \text{ and } n+1 \in \mathcal{F}_N, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

for some absolute constant c > 0, where \wp stands for the set of all primes. Thus, $\epsilon_n = 0$ if p(n+1) < p(n) or if $n < e^{N+1} < n+1$ or if either p(n) or p(n+1) does not exist. Then, to each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we associate the number

$$\xi_N = \operatorname{Concat}(\epsilon_n : n \in \mathcal{F}_N),$$

and we then define

$$(1.1) \qquad \qquad \alpha = 0.\xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_4 \dots$$

2 The distribution function of $(\{2^n\alpha\})_{n\geq 1}$

With α as in (1.1), let 0 < u < 1 written as

$$(2.1) u = \frac{t_1}{2} + \frac{t_2}{2^2} + \frac{t_3}{2^3} + \cdots$$

Here, we may assume that $t_n = 0$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can prove that

(2.2)
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \{ n \le N : \{ 2^n \alpha \} \le u \} = F(u) \text{ exists.}$$

To see this, we proceed as follows. Let $r_1 < r_2 < \cdots$ be a sequence of integers such that $t_{r_j} = 0$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and then set $u_j := \sum_{\nu=1}^{r_{j-1}} \frac{t_{\nu}}{2^{\nu}}$ and further define $\widetilde{u_j} := \frac{1}{2^{r_j}} + u_j$. It is clear that

$$u_j \le u < \widetilde{u_j} \qquad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We then introduce the two functions

$$F_{1}(u) = \liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{n \le N : \{2^{n}\alpha\} < u\},$$

$$F_{2}(u) = \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{n \le N : \{2^{n}\alpha\} < u\}.$$

With these definitions, we easily see that

(2.3)
$$F(u_j) = \sum_{\frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{a_2}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{a_{r_j}}{2^{r_j}} \le u_j} \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_{r_j}) \le F_1(u),$$

(2.4)
$$F(\widetilde{u_j}) = \sum_{\frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{a_2}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{a_{r_j}}{2^{r_j}} \le \widetilde{u_j}} \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_{r_j}) \ge F_2(u).$$

Moreover,

(2.5)
$$F(\widetilde{u_j}) - F(u_j) = \kappa(t_1, \dots, t_{r_{j-1}}, 1).$$

Also, observe that it will follow from Theorem 4.1 below that

(2.6)
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \max_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m \in \{0,1\}^m} \kappa(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m) = 0.$$

It then follows from (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) that $F_1(u) = F_2(u)$ and therefore that F(u) exists, as claimed.

We can even prove that F(u) is a continuous function. To show this, we first fix u and choose two sequences of numbers $(u_M)_{M\geq 1}$ and $(v_M)_{M\geq 1}$ such that $u_M < u < v_M$ for each $M\geq 1$, and such that $u_M\to u$ and $v_M\to u$ as $M\to\infty$. Then, let s be an integer such that $\lfloor u\cdot 2^M\rfloor=s$ and choose $u_M=\frac{s}{2^M}$ and $v_M=\frac{s+1}{2^M}$. We then have

$$F(u_M) = \sum_{\frac{a_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{a_M}{2^M} \le s/2^M} \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_M),$$

$$F(v_M) = \sum_{\frac{a_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{a_M}{2M} \le (s+1)/2^M} \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_M),$$

with

$$\frac{s+1}{2^M} = \frac{b_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{b_M}{2^M}.$$

Since $F(v_M)-F(u_M)=\kappa(b_1,\ldots,b_M)\to 0$ as $M\to\infty$, we therefore have that $\lim_{M\to\infty}F(u_M)=F(u)$ and $\lim_{M\to\infty}F(v_M)=F(u)$. Thus we proved that F(u) is continuous at the points $u\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ and also continuous from the right at those points $u\in\mathbb{Q}$ of the form $u=s/(2^R)$, where s is an odd integer. Moreover, assuming that K is an integer larger than K and setting $u_K=u-1/2^K$, we have $F(u)-F(u_K)=\kappa(t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_{R-1},0,1,1,\ldots,1)$ which tends to 0 as K tends to infinity, thereby establishing that F(u) is continuous from the left, as well.

3 Main theorem

Theorem 3.1. Given an integer $k \geq 2$ and an arbitrary k-tuple $(\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k) \in \{0, 1\}^k$, we have

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{1}{M} \# \left\{ m \le M : \{2^m \alpha\} \in \left[\frac{\delta_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{\delta_k}{2^k}, \frac{\delta_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{\delta_k}{2^k} + \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \right\} = \kappa(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k).$$

Proof. Let $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{k+1}$ be distinct primes located in the interval \mathcal{L}_N . Let us count those $n \in \mathcal{F}_N$ for which $p(n+j) = p_j$. Also, let $\{i_1, \ldots, i_{k+1}\}$ be that particular permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}$ for which $p_{i_1} < p_{i_2} < \cdots < p_{i_{k+1}}$. Then, set

$$Q_U := \prod_{\substack{\log N$$

Since $n+j \equiv 0 \pmod{p_j}$ for $j=1,2,\ldots,k+1$, it follows that $n=m \cdot p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1} + r$ with $(r,p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1}) = 1$. Moreover, $(n+i_1,Q_{p_{i_1}}) = 1$, $(n+i_2,Q_{p_{i_2}}) = 1$, ..., $(n+i_{k+1},Q_{p_{i_{k+1}}}) = 1$. Using standard asymptotic sieve techniques, we can write these conditions in the form

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^{k+1} \left(n + i_{\ell}, Q_{p_{i_1}} \right) = 1, \quad \prod_{\ell=2}^{k+1} \left(n + i_{\ell}, \frac{Q_{p_{i_2}}}{Q_{p_{i_1}}} \right) = 1, \dots, \left(n + i_{k+1}, \frac{Q_{p_{i_{k+1}}}}{Q_{p_{i_k}}} \right) = 1, \\
n \equiv r \pmod{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1}}.$$

Thus the number of such numbers $n \in \mathcal{F}_N$ is, as $N \to \infty$,

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{\#\mathcal{F}_N}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{\log N < q < p_{i_1} \\ q \in \wp}} \left(1 - \frac{k+1}{q}\right) \cdot \prod_{\substack{p_{i_1} < q < p_{i_2} \\ q \in \wp}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{q}\right) \cdots \prod_{\substack{p_{i_k} < q < p_{i_{k+1}} \\ q \in \wp}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)$$

$$= (1 + o(1)) \#\mathcal{F}_N \cdot \log \log \log N \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{p_i \log \log p_i}.$$

The important observation here is that this asymptotic behavior does not depend on the particular permutation of the primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{k+1}$ we choose. We may therefore conclude that, as $N \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{\#\mathcal{F}_N} \# \left\{ m \in \mathcal{F}_N : \left\{ 2^m \alpha \right\} \in \left[\frac{\delta_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{\delta_k}{2^k}, \frac{\delta_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{\delta_k}{2^k} + \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \right\} = (1 + o(1))\kappa(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k).$$

Now, we need to count those $\{2^m\alpha\}$ $(m=1,2,\ldots,\lfloor x\rfloor)$ not only for the particular values $x=e^N$, but also for the more general values $x\in(e^N,e^{N+1})$.

So, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrarily small number and set $x = e^{N+\theta}$ with $0 < \theta < 1$. We now examine two separate cases. If $\theta < \varepsilon$, then

$$\#\{n: e^N \le n < x\} < e^N(e^{\varepsilon} - 1) < 2\varepsilon e^N.$$

On the other hand, if $\theta > \varepsilon$, setting $S := [e^N, e^{N+\theta})$, we may then repeat the above argument for the interval S instead of \mathcal{F}_N and obtain the same result. Therefore, in both cases, the proof is complete.

4 The size of $\kappa(\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_k)$

Theorem 4.1. Let $k \geq 2$ and let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \{0, 1\}$ be given. Then,

$$\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \le \kappa(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) < \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}}.$$

Proof. First, we prove the first inequality, namely

(4.1)
$$\kappa(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \ge \frac{1}{(k+1)!}.$$

To do so, we let j_1, \ldots, j_r be the indices of those a_i 's for which $a_{j_{\nu}} = 0$ ($\nu = 1, \ldots, r$) and let t_1, \ldots, t_s be the indices of those a_i 's for which $a_{t_{\mu}} = 1$ ($\mu = 1, \ldots, s$). The case where one of the two sets $\{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ or $\{t_1, \ldots, t_s\}$ is empty is much more simple. So, let $S = \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $M = \{r + 1, \ldots, k + 1\}$. Now, let $\{u(1), \ldots, u(k + 1)\}$ be a permutation of $\{1, 2, \ldots, k + 1\}$ satisfying

1. $\{u(j_1+1), u(j_2+1), \dots, u(j_r+1)\}$ is a permutation of S satisfying the condition If $j_{\ell+1} = j_{\ell} + 1$ for some $\ell \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, then $u(j_{\ell}+1) > u(j_{\ell+1}+1)$.

Observe that such a permutation clearly exists.

2. $\{u(t_1+1), u(t_2+1), \dots, u(t_{k-r}+1)\}\$ is a permutation of M satisfying the condition If $t_{\nu+1} = t_{\nu} + 1$ for some $\nu \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, then $u(t_{\nu}+1) < u(t_{\nu+1}+1)$.

Such a permutation also clearly exists.

For such a permutation $\{u(1), \ldots, u(k+1)\}$, we have that $\rho(u(\ell), u(\ell+1)) = a_{\ell}$ for $\ell = 1, \ldots, k$. The special case $S = \emptyset$ is very simple, because in this case, u(j) = j for each $j = 1, \ldots, k+1$. On the other hand if $M = \emptyset$, then

$$u(1) = k + 1, \ u(2) = k, \dots, \ u(k + 1) = 1.$$

This completes the proof of (4.1).

We will now prove the second inequality in Theorem 4.1, namely

(4.2)
$$\kappa(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) < \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}}.$$

Assume that $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k+1}\}$ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$ satisfying $\rho(j_\ell, j_{\ell+1}) = a_\ell$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, k$. Assume first that k+1 is even and consider the pairs

$$(j_1, j_2), (j_3, j_4), \ldots, (j_k, j_{k+1}).$$

If $a_1 = 1$, then $j_1 < j_2$; if $a_1 = 0$, then $j_2 > j_1$; if $a_3 = 1$, then $j_3 < j_4$; if $a_3 = 0$, then $j_3 > j_4$, and so on, up to if $a_k = 1$, then $j_k < j_{k+1}$; if $a_k = 0$, then $j_{k+1} < j_k$.

To sum up, this means that the number of associated permutations is no larger than $\frac{(k+1)!}{2^{(k+1)/2}}$.

The case where k + 1 is odd can be treated in a similar manner, since we then have that k is even, in which case we consider the k/2 + 1 numbers

$$(j_1, j_2), (j_3, j_4), \ldots, (j_{k-1}, j_k), j_{k+1},$$

which allows us in the end to conclude that the number of associated permutations is no larger than $\frac{(k+1)!}{2^{k/2}}$.

In both cases, we have proved (4.2) and the proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

References

[1] S. Pemmaraju, Computational Discrete Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2003, xiv+480 pages.

Jean-Marie De Koninck Imre Kátai

Dép. math. et statistique Computer Algebra Department

Université Laval Eötvös Loránd University

Québec 1117 Budapest

Québec G1V 0A6 Pázmány Péter Sétány I/C

Canada Hungary

jmdk@mat.ulaval.ca katai@inf.elte.hu

JMDK; fichier: permutations-2.tex; le 24 juillet 2020.