

# PARTITIONING THE SET OF PRIMES TO CREATE $r$ -DIMENSIONAL SEQUENCES WHICH ARE UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED MODULO $[0, 1)^r$

JEAN-MARIE DE KONINCK — IMRE KÁTAI

ABSTRACT. Expanding on our previous results, we show that by partitioning the set of primes into a finite number of subsets of roughly the same size, we can create  $r$ -dimensional sequences of real numbers which are uniformly distributed modulo  $[0, 1)^r$ .

*Communicated by*

## 1. Introduction

In previous papers, we used the factorization of integers to generate large families of normal numbers; see for instance [1] and [2]. Along the same lines, letting  $q \geq 3$  be a prime number, we showed in a recent paper [3] how one can create an infinite sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$  of normal numbers in base  $q - 1$  such that, for any fixed integer  $r \geq 1$ , the  $r$ -dimensional sequence  $(\{\alpha_1(q - 1)^n\}, \dots, \{\alpha_r(q - 1)^n\})$  is uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1)^r$ , where  $\{y\}$  stands for the fractional part of  $y$ . Here, given an appropriate partition of the primes, we create an  $r$ -dimensional sequence of real numbers which is uniformly distributed modulo  $[0, 1)^r$ .

First, we introduce some basic notation. Given an integer  $q \geq 3$ , let  $A_q := \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$ . Given an integer  $t \geq 1$ , an expression of the form  $i_1 i_2 \dots i_t$ , where each  $i_j \in A_q$ , is called a *finite word* of length  $t$ . The symbol  $\Lambda$  will denote the *empty word*, so that if we concatenate the words  $\alpha, \Lambda, \beta$ , then, instead of writing  $\alpha\Lambda\beta$ , we simply write  $\alpha\beta$ .

Let  $\wp$  stand for the set of all primes. Given an integer  $q \geq 3$ , a partition  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\wp$  into sets of primes  $\wp_0, \wp_1, \dots, \wp_{q-1}, \mathcal{R}$ , noted  $(\mathcal{T}, q)$ , is said to be a *regular partition* if  $\wp_0 \cup \wp_1 \cup \dots \cup \wp_{q-1} \cup \mathcal{R} = \wp$ , where  $\mathcal{R}$  is finite (possibly empty) and

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11K16, 11J71.

Keywords: Uniform distribution modulo one.

UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED  $r$ -DIMENSIONAL SEQUENCES

where the sets  $\wp_i$ 's are roughly of the same size in the sense that, for every fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\max_{\substack{j=0,1,\dots,q-1 \\ \varepsilon \leq y/x \leq 1}} \left| \frac{q \pi([x, x+y] \cap \wp_j)}{\pi([x, x+y])} - 1 \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

The following provide examples of *regular partitions* of primes:

- (1) Given an arbitrary integer  $k \geq 2$ , let  $\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\varphi(k)-1}$  be the reduced residues mod  $k$  (here  $\varphi$  stands for the Euler totient function). Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_\nu &:= \{p \in \wp : p \equiv \ell_\nu \pmod{k}\} & (\nu = 0, 1, \dots, \varphi(k) - 1), \\ \mathcal{R} &:= \{p \in \wp : p \mid k\}, \end{aligned}$$

Using the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions, one can easily show that  $\wp_0, \wp_1, \dots, \wp_{\varphi(k)-1}, \mathcal{R}$  represents a regular partition of the primes.

- (2) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Given an integer  $q \geq 2$ , let  $I_0, I_1, \dots, I_{q-1}$  be disjoint intervals each of length  $1/q$  such that  $[0, 1) = I_0 \cup I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_{q-1}$ . Setting  $\wp_\nu = \{p \in \wp : \{\alpha p\} \in I_\nu\}$  for  $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, q - 1$  and  $\mathcal{R} = \emptyset$ , we easily obtain a regular partition of the primes.

- (3) Let  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$  represent the sequence of all primes. Given a fixed integer  $k$ , then for each integer  $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ , consider the set of primes  $\wp_\ell := \{p_{mk+\ell} : m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and let  $\mathcal{R} = \emptyset$ , then one can show that  $\wp_0, \wp_1, \dots, \wp_{k-1}, \mathcal{R}$  constitutes a regular partition of the primes.

Let  $\lambda_N$  be a function such that  $\lambda_N \rightarrow \infty$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, for each integer  $N > e^e$ , we introduce the intervals  $J_N = [e^N, e^{N+1})$  and  $K_N = [N, N^{\lambda_N}]$ , as well as the particular product of primes  $Q_N := \prod_{p \in K_N} p$ . Now, we write each integer

$n \in J_N$  as

$$n = \pi_1(n)\pi_2(n) \cdots \pi_{h(n)}(n)\nu(n), \tag{1}$$

where  $\pi_1(n) \leq \pi_2(n) \leq \dots \leq \pi_{h(n)}$  are those prime factors of  $n$  located in the interval  $K_N$  and  $\nu(n)$  stands for the product of the other prime factors of  $n$ , namely those which are relatively prime to  $Q_N$ .

## 2. Main result

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{T}^{(j)}, q_j)_{j \geq 1}$  be an arbitrary sequence of regular partitions of the primes, with corresponding partitions*

$$\wp_0^{(j)}, \wp_1^{(j)}, \dots, \wp_{q_j-1}^{(j)}, \mathcal{R}^{(j)} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Given  $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$a_{j,n} = \begin{cases} \ell & \text{if } \pi_j(n) \in \wp_\ell^{(j)} \text{ with } j \leq h(n), \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_j(n) \in \mathcal{R}^{(j)} \text{ or if } j > h(n), \end{cases}$$

where  $h(n)$  is as in (1). For each integer  $j \geq 1$ , consider the number  $\beta_j$  whose  $q_j$ -ary expansion is

$$\beta_j = 0.a_{j,1}a_{j,2}\dots$$

and further consider the sequence

$$u_{n,j} = \{\beta_j q_j^n\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then, for each fixed integer  $r \geq 1$ , the  $r$ -dimensional sequence

$$(u_{n,1}, u_{n,2}, \dots, u_{n,r})_{n \geq 1}$$

is uniformly distributed modulo  $[0, 1)^r$ .

### 3. The approach and some preliminary lemmas

Fix positive integers  $r$  and  $k$ . Then, consider the real  $r \times k$  matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} & \cdots & b_{1,k} \\ b_{2,1} & \cdots & b_{2,k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{r,1} & \cdots & b_{r,k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where each  $b_{i,j}$  belongs to  $A_{q_j}$ , and, moreover, for each positive integer  $n$ , consider the real  $r \times k$  matrix

$$\kappa(n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,n+1} & \cdots & a_{1,n+k} \\ a_{2,n+1} & \cdots & a_{2,n+k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{r,n+1} & \cdots & a_{r,n+k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the elements  $a_{j,m}$  are those appearing in the  $q_j$ -ary expansion of  $\beta_j$ . Then,

$$\text{set } T := \left( \prod_{j=1}^r q_j \right)^k.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1, it is sufficient to prove that, given any small number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for every matrix  $S$  (as the one above), we have

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{T}{x} \#\{n \leq x : \kappa(n) = S\} - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (2)$$

UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED  $r$ -DIMENSIONAL SEQUENCES

Indeed, fixing  $\varepsilon > 0$  and assuming that we can establish that for  $e^N \leq x \leq e^{N+1}$ , we have

$$|T\#\{n \in [e^N, x) : \kappa(n) = S\} - (x - e^N)| \leq \varepsilon x + O(1), \quad (3)$$

and similarly also with  $[e^\nu, e^{\nu+1})$  instead of  $[e^N, x)$  for  $\nu = k, k+1, \dots, N-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{n \leq x : \kappa(n) = S\} &= \sum_{\nu=k}^{N-1} \#\{n \in J_\nu : \kappa(n) = S\} \\ &\quad + \#\{n \in [e^N, x) : \kappa(n) = S\} + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

then we easily see that (2) follows (3).

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $y_x$  be a function of  $x$  which tends to infinity with  $x$ . Then, the number of those positive integers  $n \leq x$  which have two prime divisors  $p_1, p_2$  such that  $y_x \leq p_1 < p_2 < 2p_1$  and  $p_2$  divides  $\prod_{-k \leq j \leq k} (n+j)$  is  $o(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that amongst those positive integers  $n \leq x$ , the situation  $p_1 \mid n, p_2 \mid n+j$  for some  $j \in [-k, k]$  and  $y_x \leq p_1 < p_2 < 2p_1$  occurs at most  $x \sum_{y_x \leq p_1 < p_2 < 2p_1 < x} \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} = o(x)$  times, thus establishing our claim.  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.** *With  $y_x$  as in Lemma 1, we have*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \#\{n \leq x : p^2 \mid n, p \geq y_x\} = 0.$$

*Proof.* This is immediate if one observes that

$$\#\{n \leq x : p^2 \mid n, p \geq y_x\} \leq \sum_{p \geq y_x} \frac{x}{p^2} \ll \frac{x}{y_x}.$$

$\square$

**LEMMA 3.** *As  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{e^N} \#\{n \in J_N : \min_{-k \leq \ell \leq k} h(n+\ell) \leq r\} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of the Turán-Kubilius inequality.  $\square$

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $J = [e^N, x]$ , where  $e^N < x \leq e^{N+1}$ . Let  $r$  and  $k$  be fixed positive integers. Let  $Q_{i,\ell}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $\ell = 1, \dots, k$  be distinct primes belonging to  $K_N$  such that  $Q_{1,\ell} < Q_{2,\ell} < \dots < Q_{r,\ell}$ . Moreover, let  $S_J(Q_{i,\ell} \mid i = 1, \dots, r, \ell = 1, \dots, k)$  be the number of those integers  $n \in J$  for which  $\pi_i(n+\ell) = Q_{i,\ell}$ . Also,*

for each integer  $r \geq 1$ , let  $\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)$  be the permutation of the set  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  which allows us to write

$$Q_{r,\sigma(1)} < Q_{r,\sigma(2)} < \dots < Q_{r,\sigma(k)}.$$

Then, given any small  $\varepsilon > 0$  and provided  $x - e^N \geq \varepsilon e^N$ , we have, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_J(Q_{i,\ell} \mid i = 1, \dots, r, \ell = 1, \dots, k) \\ = (1 + o(1)) \frac{x - e^N}{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq \ell \leq k}} Q_{i,\ell}} \cdot \prod_{N \leq \pi < Q_{r,\sigma(k)}} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(\pi)}{\pi}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho(\pi) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } N \leq \pi < Q_{r,\sigma(1)}, \\ k-1 & \text{if } Q_{r,\sigma(1)} < \pi < Q_{r,\sigma(2)}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \text{if } Q_{r,\sigma(k-1)} < \pi < Q_{r,\sigma(k)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi \in \{Q_{i,\ell} : i = 1, \dots, r, \ell = 1, \dots, k\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is relation (2.1) in our paper [3]. □

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $\delta \in (0, 1/4)$  be fixed and set  $\eta = 1 + \delta$ . Further let  $N$  be a large number and let  $\nu_0 = \nu_0(N)$  be an integer satisfying

$$\nu_0 \delta > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log N.$$

Then, for each  $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, \nu_0\}$ , consider the interval

$$L_m = [\eta^m N, \eta^{m+1} N].$$

Further consider the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of matrices

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,1} & \cdots & m_{1,k} \\ m_{2,1} & \cdots & m_{2,k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{r,1} & \cdots & m_{r,k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $0 \leq m_{1,\ell} < m_{2,\ell} < \dots < m_{r,\ell} \leq \nu_0(N)$  for  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $m_{u_1, v_1} \neq m_{u_2, v_2}$  if  $(u_1, v_1) \neq (u_2, v_2)$ .

UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED  $r$ -DIMENSIONAL SEQUENCES

With  $J$  as in the statement of Lemma 4 and given  $n \in J$ , write

$$\tau(n) = M \quad \text{if } \pi_i(n + \ell) \in L_{m_{i,\ell}} \quad (\ell = 1, \dots, k, i = 1, \dots, r).$$

Let us drop all those integers  $n \in J$  which can be neglected in light of Lemmas 1, 2 or 3. Then, the size of the set of those  $n \leq x$  thus dropped is  $o(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, for those  $n \in J$  which are not dropped, we have that  $\tau(n) = M$  holds for one and only one element of  $M$ . Let us fix a matrix  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ . Observe that if  $Q_{i,\ell}$  is a prime in the interval  $L_{m_{i,\ell}}$ , then, according to Lemma 4, the expression  $S_J(Q_{i,\ell} \mid i = 1, \dots, r, \ell = 1, \dots, k)$  tends to a constant as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , since in fact it is

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{x - e^N}{N^{rk} \prod \eta^{\sum m_{i,\ell}}} \prod_{N < \pi < N\eta^{\max m_{i,\ell}}} \left(1 - \frac{\rho^*(\pi)}{\pi}\right),$$

where  $\rho^*(\pi)$  is defined by

$$\rho^*(\pi) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } N \leq \pi < N\eta^{m_{r,\sigma(1)}}, \\ k-1 & \text{if } N\eta^{m_{r,\sigma(1)}} \leq \pi < N\eta^{m_{r,\sigma(2)}}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \text{if } N\eta^{m_{r,\sigma(k-1)}} \leq \pi < N\eta^{m_{r,\sigma(k)}}. \end{cases}$$

The size of the collection of those primes  $Q_{i,\ell} \in L_{m_{i,\ell}}$  is equal to  $\prod_{\substack{i=1,\dots,r \\ \ell=1,\dots,k}} \pi(L_{m_{i,\ell}})$ .

On the other hand, the size of the collection of those  $Q_{i,\ell}$  which also belong to  $\wp_{b_{i,\ell}}^{(i)}$  is equal to  $\prod_{\substack{i=1,\dots,r \\ \ell=1,\dots,k}} \pi(L_{m_{i,\ell}} \cap \wp_{b_{i,\ell}}^{(i)})$ .

Now, since

$$\prod_{\substack{i=1,\dots,r \\ \ell=1,\dots,k}} \frac{q_i \pi(L_{m_{i,\ell}} \cap \wp_{b_{i,\ell}}^{(i)})}{\pi(L_{m_{i,\ell}})} = (1 + O(\xi(N))), \quad (4)$$

where  $\xi(N) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , and since (4) holds uniformly for every  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have thus established that (3) holds. Since we can perform the same argument with the interval  $[e^\nu, e^{\nu+1})$  instead of  $[e^\nu, x)$ , the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

## 5. Final remarks

Finally, we may expand on an analogous result.

Given two integers  $Q \geq 2$  and  $r \geq 1$  such that  $(Q, r) = 1$ , let

$$\wp_{Q,r} := \{p \in \wp : p \equiv r \pmod{Q}\}.$$

Moreover, let  $(\mathcal{T}^{(j)}, q_j)_{j \geq 1}$  be a sequence of regular partitions of the set of primes  $\wp_{Q,r}$ , with corresponding partitions

$$\wp_0^{(j)}, \wp_1^{(j)}, \dots, \wp_{q_j-1}^{(j)}, \mathcal{R}^{(j)} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots),$$

with  $\#\mathcal{R}^{(j)} < \infty$ , that is, such that

$$\wp_0^{(j)} \cup \wp_1^{(j)} \cup \dots \cup \wp_{q_j-1}^{(j)} \cup \mathcal{R}^{(j)} = \wp_{Q,r} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\max_{\substack{\ell=0,1,\dots,q_j-1 \\ \varepsilon \leq y/x \leq 1}} \left| \frac{q_j \pi([x, x+y] \cap \wp_\ell^{(j)})}{\pi([x, x+y] \cap \wp_{Q,r})} - 1 \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is any preassigned small number.

Moreover, let  $x_N, J_N, \lambda_N$  and  $K_N$  be defined as in Section 1, and further set

$$S_N := \prod_{\pi \in K_N \cap \wp_{Q,r}} \pi \quad \text{for each integer } N > e^\varepsilon.$$

Then, let

$$N \leq \pi_1(n) \leq \dots \leq \pi_{h(n)}(n) \leq N^{\lambda_N}$$

be all the prime divisors  $\pi_j(n) \equiv r \pmod{Q}$  belonging to the interval  $K_N$ , that is such that

$$n = \pi_1(n) \cdots \pi_{h(n)}(n) \nu(n), \quad \text{where } (\nu(n), S_N) = 1.$$

Finally, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the integers

$$a_{j,n} := \begin{cases} \ell & \text{if } \pi_j(n) \in \wp_\ell^{(j)} \text{ and } j \leq h(n), \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_j(n) \in \mathcal{R}^{(j)} \text{ or if } j > h(n), \end{cases}$$

the real numbers

$$\beta_j = 0.a_{j,1}a_{j,2}\dots \quad (q_j \text{ - ary expansion}),$$

and the corresponding sequence of real numbers

$$u_{n,j} = \{\beta_j q_j^n\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

With this set up, one can prove that, for each fixed positive integer  $r$ , the  $r$ -dimensional sequence  $(u_{n,1}, u_{n,2}, \dots, u_{n,r})_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo  $[0, 1)^r$ .

UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED  $r$ -DIMENSIONAL SEQUENCES

REFERENCES

- [1] J.M. De Koninck and I. Kátai, *Normal numbers generated using the smallest prime factor function*, Annales mathématiques du Québec **38** (2014), No.2, 133–144.
- [2] J.M. De Koninck and I. Kátai, *Prime-like sequences leading to the construction of normal numbers*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **49** (2013), No.2, 291-302.
- [3] J.M. De Koninck and I. Kátai, *Multidimensional sequences uniformly distributed modulo 1 created from normal numbers* in CONTEMPORARY MATHEMATICS, Vol. 655, AMS, 2015, pp. 77–82.

Received  
Accepted

**Dép. mathématiques et statistique  
Université Laval  
Québec G1V 0A6  
Canada**

*E-mail:* jmdk@mat.ulaval.ca

**Eötvös Loránd University  
1117 Budapest  
Pázmány Péter Sétány I/C  
Hungary**

*E-mail:* katai@inf.elte.hu