

About an unsolved problem involving normal numbers

JEAN-MARIE DE KONINCK¹ AND IMRE KÁTAI

Édition du 5 décembre 2015

Abstract

We examine the discrepancy of various sequences created from the values of additive functions and exhibit connections with q -normal numbers.

AMS Subject Classification numbers: 11K16

Key words: discrepancy, normal numbers

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all additive functions and let \mathcal{M}_1 stand for the set of all multiplicative functions f such that $|f(n)| \leq 1$ for all integers $n \geq 1$. Let \wp be the set of all prime numbers. As usual, given a real number y , we set $e(y) := \exp\{2\pi iy\}$ and write $\{y\}$ for the fractional part of y .

Given a fixed integer $q \geq 2$, we say that a real number α is a q -normal number if the sequence $(\{q^n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$ is *uniformly distributed modulo 1*. Moreover, given N real numbers y_1, \dots, y_N , we define the discrepancy of these numbers as

$$D(y_1, \dots, y_N) := \sum_{[\alpha, \beta) \subseteq [0, 1]} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\{y_j\} \in [\alpha, \beta)} 1 - (\beta - \alpha) \right|.$$

In 1948, Erdős and Turán [3], [4] proved that, given any positive integer M ,

$$(1.1) \quad D(y_1, \dots, y_N) \leq \frac{c}{N} \left| \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e(ky_j) \right| + \frac{1}{M} \right|.$$

Later, Daboussi and Delange [1], [2] proved that, if $h \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, then

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} h(n)e(n\alpha) = o(x) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

By using a simple method, the second author [5] gave a generalization of Daboussi's result, namely the following.

Lemma 1. *Given a sequence of complex numbers $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $|a_n| \leq 1$ for each integer $n \geq 1$ and letting $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$, set*

$$S(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)a_n.$$

Let \wp_x be a subset of primes all of whose elements do not exceed x and let $A_x := \sum_{p \in \wp_x} \frac{1}{p}$.

Then,

$$(1.3) \quad |S(x)|^2 \leq \frac{Cx^2}{A_x} + \frac{x}{A_x^2} \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \wp \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} \left| \sum_{m \leq \min(x/p_1, x/p_2)} a_{p_1 m} \overline{a_{p_2 m}} \right|,$$

where C is an absolute constant (so that the right hand side of (1.3) does not depend on f).

It follows from this that if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$ and $y_n(h, \alpha) = h(n) + n\alpha$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{A}} D(y_1(h, \alpha), \dots, y_N(h, \alpha)) = 0.$$

2 Main results

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $h \in \mathcal{A}$, let $z_n(h, \alpha) = h(n) + q^n \alpha$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Theorem 1. For almost all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$,

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{A}} D(z_1(h, \alpha), \dots, z_N(h, \alpha)) = 0.$$

Remark 1. Considering the additive function h defined by $h(n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one can easily see that (2.1) can only hold if α is a q -normal number.

An interesting conjecture and an unsolved problem related to Theorem 1 are the following.

Conjecture. If α is a q -normal number, then (2.1) holds.

Open problem. Construct a real number α for which (2.1) holds.

Theorem 2. Let $r_1 < r_2 < \dots$ be an infinite sequence of positive integers satisfying the gap condition $\frac{r_{k+1}}{r_k} > \theta$ for all $k \geq k_0$, for some fixed real number $\theta > 1$, and let $w_n(h, \alpha) := h(n) + r_n \alpha$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then,

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{A}} D(w_1(h, \alpha), \dots, w_N(h, \alpha)) = 0.$$

3 Proof of the theorems

Since Theorem 1 is clearly a consequence of Theorem 2, we shall only prove Theorem 2.

Let $P, Q \in \wp$ with $P > Q$ and, for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, set $L_M := [M^2, M^2 + 2M]$ and

$$T_M(\alpha) = \sum_{k \in L_M} e((r_{Pk} - r_{Qk})\alpha).$$

First observe that, for some positive constants C_1 and C_2 , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^1 |T_M(\alpha)|^4 d\alpha \leq C_1 M^2 + C_2.$$

Now, since the left hand side of (3.1) represents the number of solutions (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) of the equation

$$(3.2) \quad r_{Pk_1} - r_{Qk_1} + r_{Pk_2} - r_{Qk_2} = r_{Pk_3} - r_{Qk_3} + r_{Pk_4} - r_{Qk_4}$$

and since

$$PM^2 - Q(M^2 + 2M) = (P - Q)M^2 - Q \cdot 2M,$$

it follows that

$$\frac{\max_{\nu \in L_M} r_{Q\nu}}{\max_{\mu \in L_M} r_{P\mu}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^{(P-Q)M^2 - Q \cdot 2M}.$$

First assuming that $k_1 > k_2$, $k_3 > k_4$ and $k_1 \geq k_3$, and dividing (3.2) by r_{Pk_1} , we obtain that

$$1 - \frac{r_{Qk_1}}{r_{Pk_1}} + \frac{r_{Pk_2}}{r_{Pk_1}} - \frac{r_{Qk_2}}{r_{Pk_1}} = \frac{r_{Pk_3}}{r_{Pk_1}} - \frac{r_{Qk_3}}{r_{Pk_1}} + \frac{r_{Pk_4}}{r_{Pk_1}} - \frac{r_{Qk_4}}{r_{Pk_1}}.$$

If $k_1 = k_3$, then $|k_2 - k_4| \leq c$, where c is a constant that may depend on θ if $QM^2 > k_0$. On the other hand, if $k_1 > k_3$, then $k_1 - k_3 \leq c$. We therefore have that if k_1, k_3 and k_2 are fixed, the number of different choices for k_4 cannot exceed c . It follows from this observation that equation (3.2) has no more than $C_1 M^2$ solutions.

For each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the set

$$J_M := \{\alpha \in [0, 1) : |T_M(\alpha)| \geq M^{3/4+\delta}\}.$$

From (3.1), it follows that $\lambda(J_M) \leq 1/M^{1+4\delta}$ (here λ stands for the Lebesgue measure) and therefore that $\sum_{M \geq 1} \lambda(J_M) < +\infty$. We may therefore apply the Borel-Cantelli

Lemma and conclude that for almost $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ there exists a positive integer M_0 such that

$$\alpha \notin \bigcup_{M \geq M_0} J_M.$$

Consequently, letting $M_1 := \lfloor x^{1/3} \rfloor \geq M_0$, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{k \leq x} e(r_{Pk} - r_{Qk})\alpha \right| \leq \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{k \leq M_1^2} e(r_{Pk} - r_{Qk})\alpha \right| + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{M_1^2 < M \leq \sqrt{x}} |T_M(\alpha)| + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right)$$

$$(3.3) \quad \leq \frac{M_1^2}{x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right) + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{M \leq \sqrt{x}} M^{3/4+\delta}.$$

Observe that this last quantity tends to 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and that the convergence is uniform with respect to h .

Now, let W_1 be the set of those α for which the last quantity in (3.3) does not tend to 0 for at least one prime pair $\{P, Q\}$, in which case we have that $\lambda(W_1) = 0$. From Lemma 1, we obtain that

$$\Delta(x, \alpha) := \sup_{h \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} e(w_n(h, \alpha)) \right|$$

tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $\alpha \notin W_1$. Let us now replace α by $\ell\alpha$ (where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$), and define W_ℓ to be the set of those α for which the last quantity in (3.3) does not tend to zero if α is replaced by $\ell\alpha$. We then have $\lambda(W_\ell) = 0$, so that $\lambda\left(\bigcup_{\ell \geq 1} W_\ell\right) = 0$.

Setting $S := \mathbb{R} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\ell \geq 1} W_\ell\right)$, then for $\alpha \in S$, we have

$$\Delta(x, \ell\alpha) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then, using the Erdős-Turán inequality (1.1), we obtain that, given any positive integer K ,

$$\begin{aligned} D_N(w_1(h, \alpha), \dots, w_N(h, \alpha)) &\leq \frac{C}{K} + \sum_{\ell=1}^K \frac{1}{\ell} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} e(w_n(\ell h, \ell\alpha)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{K} \sum_{\ell=1}^K \frac{1}{\ell} \Delta(N, \ell\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(3.4) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N(w_1(h, \alpha), \dots, w_N(h, \alpha)) \leq \frac{C}{K}.$$

Since K can be chosen arbitrarily large, it follows that the left hand side of (3.4) is zero, thus completing the proof of Theorem 2.

References

- [1] H. Daboussi and H. Delange, *Quelques propriétés des fonctions multiplicatives de module au plus égal à 1*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série A **278** (1974), 657–660.

- [2] H. Daboussi and H. Delange, *On multiplicative arithmetical functions whose modulus does not exceed one*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **26** (1982), no. 2, 245–264.
- [3] P. Erdős and P. Turán, *On a problem in the theory of uniform distribution I*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. 51 (1948), 1146–1154
- [4] P. Erdős and P. Turán, *On a problem in the theory of uniform distribution II*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. **51** (1948), 1262–1269.
- [5] I. Kátai, *A remark on a theorem of H. Daboussi*, Acta Math. Hungar. **47** (1986), no. 1–2, 223–225.

Jean-Marie De Koninck
Dép. math. et statistique
Université Laval
Québec
Québec G1V 0A6
Canada
jmdk@mat.ulaval.ca

Imre Kátai
Computer Algebra Department
Eötvös Loránd University
1117 Budapest
Pázmány Péter Sétány I/C
Hungary
katalai@inf.elte.hu