

Complex roots of unity and normal numbers

JEAN-MARIE DE KONINCK¹ and IMRE KÁTAI²

Édition du 26 mai 2014

Abstract

Given an arbitrary prime number q , set $\xi = e^{2\pi i/q}$. We use a clever selection of the values of ξ^α , $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots$, in order to create normal numbers. We also use a famous result of André Weil concerning Dirichlet characters to construct a family of normal numbers.

AMS Subject Classification numbers: 11K16, 11N37, 11N41

Key words: normal numbers, roots of unity, Dirichlet characters

1 Introduction and statement of the results

Let $\lambda(n)$ be the Liouville function (defined by $\lambda(n) := (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$ where $\Omega(n) := \sum_{p^\alpha \parallel n} \alpha$). It is well known that the statement “ $\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n) = o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ ” is equivalent to the Prime Number Theorem. It is conjectured that if $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k$ are arbitrary positive integers, then $\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n)\lambda(n + b_1) \cdots \lambda(n + b_k) = o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. This conjecture seems presently out of reach since we cannot even prove that $\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n)\lambda(n + 1) = o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

The Liouville function belongs to a particular class of multiplicative functions, namely the class \mathcal{M}^* of completely multiplicative functions. Recently, Indlekofer, Kátaı and Klesov [2] considered a very special function $f \in \mathcal{M}^*$ constructed in the following manner. Let \wp stand for the set of all primes. For each $q \in \wp$, let $C_q = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : \xi^q = 1\}$ be the group of complex roots of unity of order q . As p runs through the primes, let ξ_p be independent random variables distributed uniformly on C_q . Then, let $f \in \mathcal{M}^*$ be defined on \wp by $f(p) = \xi_p$, so that $f(n)$ yields a random variable. In their 2011 paper, Indlekofer, Kátaı and Klesov proved that, if $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \wp)$ stands for a probability space where ξ_p ($p \in \wp$) are the independent random variables, then for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, the sequence $\alpha = f(1)f(2)f(3) \dots$ is a normal sequence over C_q (see Definition 1 below).

Let us now consider a somewhat different set up. Let $q \geq 2$ be a fixed prime number and set $A_q := \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$. Given an integer $t \geq 1$, an expression of the form $i_1 i_2 \dots i_t$, where each $i_j \in A_q$, is called a *word* of length t . We use the symbol Λ to denote the *empty word*. Then, A_q^t will stand for the set of words of length t over A_q , while A_q^* will stand for the set of all words over A_q regardless of their length,

¹Research supported in part by a grant from NSERC.

²Research supported by the Hungarian and Vietnamese TET 10-1-2011-0645.

including the empty word Λ . Similarly, we define C_q^* to be the set of words over C_q regardless of their length.

Given a positive integer n , we write its q -ary expansion as

$$n = \varepsilon_0(n) + \varepsilon_1(n)q + \cdots + \varepsilon_t(n)q^t,$$

where $\varepsilon_i(n) \in A_q$ for $0 \leq i \leq t$ and $\varepsilon_t(n) \neq 0$. To this representation, we associate the word

$$\bar{n} = \varepsilon_0(n)\varepsilon_1(n)\dots\varepsilon_t(n) \in A_q^{t+1}.$$

Definition 1. *Given a sequence of integers $\overline{a(1), a(2), a(3), \dots}$, we will say that the concatenation of their q -ary digit expansions $\overline{a(1) a(2) a(3) \dots}$, denoted by $\text{Concat}(\overline{a(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N})$, is a normal sequence if the number $0.\overline{a(1) a(2) a(3) \dots}$ is a q -normal number.*

It can be proved using a theorem of Halász (see [1]) that if $f \in \mathcal{M}^*$ is defined on the primes p by $f(p) = \xi_a$ ($a \neq 0$), then $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, given $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{\ell-1} \in A_q$, let $Q(n) := \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (n+j)^{u_j}$. We believe that if $\max_{j \in \{0,1,\dots,\ell-1\}} u_j > 0$, then

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} f(Q(n)) = o(x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

If this were true, it would follow that

$$\text{Concat}(f(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \text{is a normal sequence over } C_q.$$

We cannot prove (1.1), but we can prove the following. Let $q \in \wp$ and set $\xi := e^{2\pi i/q}$. Further set $x_k = 2^k$ and $y_k = x_k^{1/\sqrt{k}}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, consider the sequence of completely multiplicative functions f_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, defined on the primes p by

$$(1.2) \quad f_k(p) = \begin{cases} \xi & \text{if } k \leq p \leq y_k, \\ 1 & \text{if } p < k \text{ or } p > y_k. \end{cases}$$

Then, set

$$\eta_k := f_k(x_k) f_k(x_k + 1) f_k(x_k + 2) \dots f_k(x_{k+1} - 1) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

and

$$\theta := \text{Concat}(\eta_k : k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Theorem 1. *The sequence θ is a normal sequence over C_q .*

We now use a famous result of André Weil to construct a large family of normal numbers.

Let q be a fixed prime and set $\xi := e^{2\pi i/q}$ and $\xi_a := e^{2\pi i a/q} = \xi^a$. Recall that C_q stands for the group of complex roots of unity of order q , that is,

$$C_q = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : \zeta^q = 1\} = \{\xi^a : a = 0, 1, \dots, q-1\}.$$

Let $p \in \wp$ be such that $q|p-1$. Moreover, let χ_p be a Dirichlet character modulo p of order q , meaning that the smallest positive integer t for which $\chi_p^t = \chi_0$ is q . (Here χ_0 stands for the principal character.)

Let $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \in A_q$ and consider the polynomial

$$(1.3) \quad F(z) = F_{u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}}(z) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (z+j)^{u_j}$$

and assume that its degree is at least 1, that is, that there exists one $j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ for which $u_j \neq 0$. Further set

$$S_{u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}}(\chi_p) = \sum_{n \pmod{p}} \chi_p(F_{u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}}(n)).$$

According to a 1948 result of André Weil [4],

$$(1.4) \quad |S_{u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}}(\chi_p)| \leq (k-1)\sqrt{p}.$$

For a proof, see Proposition 12.11 (page 331) in the book of Iwaniec and Kowalski [3].

We can prove the following.

Theorem 2. *Let $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ be an infinite set of primes such that $q | p_j - 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let χ_{p_j} be a character modulo p_j of order q . Further set*

$$\Gamma_p = \chi_p(1)\chi_p(2)\dots\chi_p(p-1) \quad (p = p_1, p_2, \dots)$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \eta := \Gamma_{p_1}\Gamma_{p_2}\dots$$

Then η is a normal sequence over C_q .

As an immediate consequence of this theorem, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $\varphi : C_q \rightarrow A_q$ be defined by $\varphi(\xi_a) = a$. Extend the function φ to $\varphi : C_q^* \rightarrow A_q^*$ by $\varphi(\alpha\beta) = \varphi(\alpha)\varphi(\beta)$ and let*

$$\varphi(\eta) = \varphi(\Gamma_{p_1})\varphi(\Gamma_{p_2})\dots$$

and consider the q -ary expansion of the real number

$$(1.6) \quad \kappa = 0.\varphi(\Gamma_{p_1})\varphi(\Gamma_{p_2})\dots$$

Then κ is a normal number in base q .

Example 1. *Choosing $q = 3$ and $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots\} = \{7, 13, 19, \dots\}$ as the set of primes $p_j \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then, the sequence η defined by (1.5) is normal sequence over $\{0, e^{2\pi i/3}, e^{4\pi i/3}\}$, while κ defined by (1.6) is a ternary normal number.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let ℓ be a fixed positive integer. Let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\ell-1} \in A_q$. Recall the notation $\xi = e^{2\pi i/q}$. Given a positive integer k , let x, y be such that $x_k \leq x < x+y \leq x_{k+1} - \ell$. We will now count the number $M([x, x+y] \mid (a_0, \dots, a_{\ell-1}))$ of those $n \in [x, x+y]$ for which $f_k(n+j) = \xi^{a_j}$ ($j = 0, \dots, \ell-1$) holds.

Consider the polynomial

$$P_d(x) = \frac{x^q - 1}{x - \xi^d} = \prod_{\substack{h=0 \\ h \neq d}}^{q-1} (x - \xi^h),$$

so that in particular

$$(x - \xi^d)P_d(x) = x^q - 1.$$

Taking the derivatives on both sides of the above equation yields

$$P_d(x) + (x - \xi^d)P_d'(x) = qx^{q-1}.$$

Thus,

$$P_d(f_k(m)) + (f_k(m) - \xi^d)P_d'(f_k(m)) = q\overline{f_k(m)},$$

where \bar{z} stands for the complex conjugate of z .

We then have

$$P_d(f_k(m)) = \begin{cases} q\overline{f_k(m)} & \text{if } f_k(m) = \xi^d, \\ 0 & \text{if } f_k(m) \neq \xi^d. \end{cases}$$

Write the polynomial P_d as $P_d(m) = \sum_{u=0}^{q-1} e_u(d)m^u$, so that $P_d(0) = \bar{\xi}^d$, that is,

$e_0(d) = \bar{\xi}^d$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{a_0}(f_k(n)) \cdots P_{a_{\ell-1}}(f_k(n + \ell - 1)) &= \prod_{h=0}^{\ell-1} \left\{ \sum_{u_h=0}^{q-1} e_{u_h}(a_h) f_k^{u_h}(n+h) \right\} \\ (2.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \sum_{u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1} \in A_q} A(u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}) f_k \left(\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (n+j)^{u_j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $A(u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}) = e_{u_0}(a_0) \cdots e_{u_{\ell-1}}(a_{\ell-1})$, with $A(0, \dots, 0) = \bar{\xi}^{a_0 + \dots + a_{\ell-1}}$.

With integers x, y such that $x_k \leq x < x+y \leq x_{k+1} - \ell$, we now sum both sides of (2.1) for $n = x, \dots, x+y$, we then obtain that

$$q^\ell \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \bar{\xi}^{a_j} \cdot M([x, x+y] \mid (a_0, \dots, a_{\ell-1})) = y \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \bar{\xi}^{a_j}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1} \in A_q \\ (u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)}} A(u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}) \sum_{n=x}^{x+y} f_k \left(\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (n+j)^{u_j} \right).$$

Setting

$$Q(n) = \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (n+j)^{u_j},$$

it remains to prove that

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x_k} \max_{x_k \leq x < x+y \leq x_{k+1} - \ell} \left| \sum_{n=x}^{x+y} f_k(Q(n)) \right| = 0.$$

To prove this, we proceed using standard techniques. Let $\rho(\delta)$ stand for the number of solutions of the congruence $Q(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{\delta}$, in which case we have $\rho(p^\alpha) = \rho(p)$ for all primes $p > k$ and integers $\alpha \geq 1$. Now define the completely multiplicative function g_k implicitly by the relation

$$f_k(m) = \sum_{d|m} g_k(d),$$

thus implying, in light of (1.2), that

$$g_k(p) = f_k(p) - 1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < k \text{ or } p > y_k, \\ \xi - 1 & \text{if } k \leq p \leq y_k. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in [x, x+y]} f_k(Q(n)) &= \sum_{n \in [x, x+y]} \sum_{\delta | Q(n)} g_k(\delta) \\ &= \sum_{\delta} g_k(\delta) \sum_{\substack{n \in [x, x+y] \\ Q(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{\delta}}} 1 \\ &= y \sum_{\delta} \frac{g_k(\delta) \rho(\delta)}{\delta} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, observe that since $g_k(p^\alpha) = f_k(p^\alpha) - f_k(p^{\alpha-1}) = \xi^{\alpha-1}(\xi - 1)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta} \frac{g_k(\delta) \rho(\delta)}{\delta} &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{g_k(p) \rho(p)}{p} + \frac{g_k(p^2) \rho(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots \right) \\ &= \prod_{k \leq p \leq y_k} \left(1 + \frac{\rho(p)(\xi - 1)}{p} \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{p} + \frac{\xi^2}{p^2} + \dots \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{k \leq p \leq y_k} \left(1 + \frac{\rho(p)(\xi - 1)}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \xi/p} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{k \leq p \leq y_k} \left(1 + \frac{\rho(p)(\xi - 1)}{p - \xi} \right) \\
(2.4) \quad &= \exp \left\{ \rho(p)(\xi - 1) \sum_{k \leq p \leq y_k} \frac{1}{p} + O(1) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

But, since $\Re(\xi - 1) < 0$, we have that

$$(2.5) \quad \exp \left\{ \rho(p)(\xi - 1) \sum_{k \leq p \leq y_k} \frac{1}{p} + O(1) \right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, combining (2.5) with (2.4) and (2.3), we obtain (2.2).

We have thus established that

$$M([x, x + y] \mid (a_0, \dots, a_{\ell-1})) - \frac{y}{q^\ell} = o(x_k) \quad (k \rightarrow \infty),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

As we will see, the proof of Theorem 2 is essentially a consequence of Weil's result (1.4).

Let ℓ be a fixed positive integer. Fix a prime p and let $\beta = \xi_{e_0} \dots \xi_{e_{\ell-1}}$ be any word belonging to C_q^ℓ . Consider the expression

$$f_\beta(n) = \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \prod_{\substack{\xi \in C_q \\ \xi \neq \xi_{e_j}}} (\chi_p(n+j) - \xi).$$

Observe that $f_\beta(n) = 0$ if $\chi(n) \dots \chi(n + \ell - 1) \in C_q^\ell$ is different from β . But if $\chi(n) \dots \chi(n + \ell - 1) = \beta$, then

$$f_\beta(n) = \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \prod_{\substack{\xi \in C_q \\ \xi \neq \xi_{e_j}}} (\xi_{e_j} - \xi).$$

Since, for each $j = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dx} (x^q - 1) \Big|_{x=\xi_{e_j}} = q\xi_{e_j}^{q-1} = q\overline{\xi_{e_j}},$$

it follows that

$$f_\beta(n) = q^\ell (\overline{\xi_{e_0} \dots \xi_{e_{\ell-1}}}),$$

where again \bar{z} stands for the complex conjugate of z . Hence, letting $M_p(\beta)$ stand for the number of occurrences of β as a subword in the word Γ_p , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \overline{\xi_{e_0} \cdots \xi_{e_{\ell-1}}} q^\ell M_p(\beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-\ell} f_\beta(n).$$

Now $f_\beta(n)$ can be written as

$$(3.2) \quad f_\beta(n) = \sum_{(u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}) \in A_q^\ell} A(u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}) \chi(F_{u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}}(n)),$$

where

$$F_{u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}}(n) = \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (n+j)^{u_j},$$

$$A(0, \dots, 0) = \overline{\xi_{e_0} \cdots \xi_{e_{\ell-1}}}.$$

Thus taking into account (1.3), the Weil inequality (1.4) and the above relations (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \overline{\xi_{e_0} \cdots \xi_{e_{\ell-1}}} (q^\ell M_p(\beta) - (p-\ell)) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{(u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}) \in A_q^\ell \\ (u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)}} |A(u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1})| \cdot \left| \sum_{n=1}^{p-\ell} \chi(F_{u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}}(n)) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{(u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}) \in A_q^\ell \\ (u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)}} |A(u_0, \dots, u_{\ell-1})| \cdot ((\ell-1)\sqrt{p} + \ell) \\ & \leq c_1(\ell) \sqrt{p}. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus shown that

$$\left| M_p(\beta) - \frac{p-\ell}{q^\ell} \right| \leq c(\ell) \sqrt{p},$$

thus completing the proof of Theorem 2.

4 Conflicts of interest

The authors of this manuscript certify that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] G. Halász, *Über die Mittelwerte multiplikativen zahlentheoretischer Funktionen*, Acta Math. Acad. Scient. Hungaricae **19** (1968), 365–404.
- [2] K.H. Indlekofer, I. Kátai and O. Klesov, *Renewal theorems for some weighted renewal functions*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comput. **34** (2011), 179-194.
- [3] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, Volume 53, AMS, 2004.
- [4] A. Weil, *On some exponential sums*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **34** (1948), 204–207.

Jean-Marie De Koninck
Dép. de mathématiques et de statistique
Université Laval
Québec
Québec G1V 0A6
Canada
jmdk@mat.ulaval.ca

Imre Kátai
Computer Algebra Department
Eötvös Loránd University
1117 Budapest
Pázmány Péter Sétány I/C
Hungary
katai@compalg.inf.elte.hu