

# On the distribution of the number of digits needed to write the factorization of an integer

JEAN-MARIE DE KONINCK, NICOLAS DOYON AND PATRICK LETENDRE

## Abstract

Let  $F_q(n)$  be the number of digits needed to write the factorization of  $n$  in base  $q$ . Several authors have studied the cardinality of the set of economical numbers, that is those integers  $n$  for which  $F_q(n) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 1$ . The fact that the set of economical numbers is of zero density in the set of integers reveals nothing about the *normal* behavior of  $F_q(n)$ . In this note, we study the central distribution of the function  $F_q(n)$  and show that it is Gaussian.

## §1. Introduction and notations

Let  $F_q(n)$  be the number of digits needed to write the factorization of  $n$  in base  $q$ . For example,  $F_{10}(125) = F_{10}(5^3) = 2$  and  $F_{10}(30) = F_{10}(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5) = 3$ . In 1995, Santos [7] introduced the notion of *economical numbers in base  $q$* ,  $q \geq 2$ , namely those integers  $n$  for which  $F_q(n) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 1$ , meaning that the number of digits needed to write the factorization of  $n$  is smaller or equal to the number of digits appearing in its digital expansion in base  $q$ . Since then, several authors have studied the counting function of economical numbers, in particular De Koninck and Luca [3], [4], and more recently De Koninck, Doyon and Luca [5]. Here, for a fixed  $q \geq 2$ , we study the distribution function  $H_q(x, y) := \#\{n < x : F_q(n) < y\}$  and more precisely the case where  $y = y(x, c) = \frac{\log x}{\log q} + \frac{1}{2} \log \log x + c\sqrt{\log \log x}$ . We show that in this case, the expression  $G(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} H_q(x, y)$  is well defined and that  $G(c) = \Phi(\sqrt{3}c)$  where  $\Phi(y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^y e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt$  is the distribution function of the standard normal law.

For real number  $y \geq 0$ , we let  $\lfloor y \rfloor$  stand for the largest integer smaller or equal to  $y$  and we write  $\{y\} := y - \lfloor y \rfloor$  for its fractional part. As usual, the letter  $p$  will always denote a prime number, while  $\pi(x)$  will stand for the number of prime numbers  $p \leq x$ . On the other hand,  $\phi(y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}$  stands for the density function of the standard normal law. Moreover, we let  $\omega(n)$  stand for the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$  and we let  $\gamma(n) := \prod_{p|n} p$  be the *kernel* of  $n$ . Finally, by  $\log \log x$  we mean  $\max(1, \log \log x)$ .

## §2. The main results

It is clear that

$$F_q(n) := \sum_{p|n} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) + \sum_{\substack{a \geq 2 \\ p^a || n}} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\log a}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 1 \right).$$

The first sum counts the number of digits needed to write the prime factors of  $n$  while the second counts the number of digits needed to write the exponents  $\geq 2$ . Using the identities

$\left\lfloor \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\rfloor = \frac{\log p}{\log q} - \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\}$  and  $\sum_{p|n} \frac{\log p}{\log q} = \frac{\log \gamma(n)}{\log q}$ , it is easily seen that

$$F_q(n) = \frac{\log \gamma(n)}{\log q} + \sum_{p|n} \left( 1 - \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\} \right) + \sum_{\substack{a \geq 2 \\ p^a \| n}} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\log a}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 1 \right),$$

which can also be written as

$$(1) \quad F_q(n) = \frac{\log n}{\log q} - h_1(n) + h_2(n) + h_3(n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(n) &:= \frac{\log(n/\gamma(n))}{\log q}, \\ h_2(n) &:= \sum_{\substack{a \geq 2 \\ p^a \| n}} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\log a}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 1 \right), \\ h_3(n) &:= \sum_{p|n} \left( 1 - \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $H_q(x, y)$  be the distribution function of  $F_q$ , that is,

$$H_q(x, y) = \#\{n < x : F_q(n) < y\}$$

and consider the function

$$G(c) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} H_q \left( x, \frac{\log x}{\log q} + \frac{1}{2} \log \log x + c \sqrt{\log \log x} \right).$$

**Theorem 1.** *For each real number  $c$ ,*

$$G(c) = \Phi(\sqrt{3}c).$$

REMARK. The fact that the function  $G(c)$  is well defined is in itself an interesting result.

The following theorem reveals the interval in which the function  $F_q(n)$  takes its values.

**Theorem 2.** *For each integer  $q \geq 2$  and each integer  $n \geq 2$ ,*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\log \log (n^{1/\omega(n)})}{\log q} \right\rfloor + \omega(n) \leq F_q(n) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 2\omega(n).$$

### §3. Preliminary results

The first lemma contains classical estimates on powerful numbers. Recall that a positive integer is said to be a *powerful number* if  $p|n$  implies that  $p^2|n$ . But first, some notation. Given a positive integer  $n$ , we shall write  $n = uv$  where

$$u = u(n) := \prod_{p|n} p$$

and

$$v = v(n) := \frac{n}{u},$$

so that  $u$  is the square free part of  $n$  and  $v$  its powerful part.

**Lemma 1.** *As  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$(i) \quad \sum_{\substack{n > y \\ p|n \Rightarrow p^2|n}} \frac{1}{n} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}},$$

$$(ii) \quad \#\{n < x : v(n) > y\} \ll \frac{x}{\sqrt{y}}, \text{ where the implicit constant does not depend on } x.$$

**Proof of Lemma 1.** For (i), see De Koninck and Kátai [2].

To establish (ii), we simply observe that it follows from (i) that

$$\#\{n < x : v(n) > y\} \leq \sum_{\substack{v > y \\ p|v \Rightarrow p^2|v}} \frac{x}{v} \ll \frac{x}{\sqrt{y}}.$$

**Lemma 2.** *There exist two positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + c_1 + O\left(\exp\{-c_2(\log x)^{3/5}\}\right).$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.** It is known (see Vinogradov [8]) that

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log x} \left(1 + O\left(\exp\{-c_2(\log x)^{3/5}\}\right)\right),$$

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant. Taking logarithms on both sides, we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} &= \log \log x + \gamma - \sum_{p \leq x, \nu \geq 2} \frac{1}{\nu p^\nu} + \log\left(1 + O\left(\exp\{-c_2(\log x)^{3/5}\}\right)\right) \\ &= \log \log x + \gamma - \sum_{p, \nu \geq 2} \frac{1}{\nu p^\nu} + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + O\left(\exp\{-c_2(\log x)^{3/5}\}\right) \\ &= \log \log x + c_1 + O\left(\exp\{-c_2(\log x)^{3/5}\}\right), \end{aligned}$$

as required.

**Lemma 3. (Central Limit Theorem)** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent random variables and let

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i &= E[X_i], \\ \sigma_i^2 &= E[(X_i - \mu_i)^2], \\ r_i^3 &= E[(X_i - \mu_i)^3].\end{aligned}$$

If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i^3)^{1/3}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}} = 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}} < y\right) = \Phi(y).$$

**Proof of Lemma 3.** This is Lyapunov's condition in the Central Limit Theorem. For a proof of this classical result, see Bernstein [1].

**Lemma 4.** For each fixed integer  $q \geq 2$  and each fixed integer  $r \geq 1$ , we have, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\} &= \frac{\log \log x}{2} + O(1), \\ \sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\}^r &= \frac{\log \log x}{r+1} + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log \log x}{r}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

**Proof of Lemma 4.** We first establish the second relation. To do so, we call upon the following inequality which is valid for all positive integers  $k$  and  $r$ :

$$(2) \quad \sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\}^r = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{p < x \\ \frac{j}{k} \leq \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\} < \frac{j+1}{k}}} \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\}^r.$$

The sum on the right hand side can be written as

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\substack{p < x \\ \frac{j}{k} \leq \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\} < \frac{j+1}{k}}} \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\}^r = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor} \sum_{q^{\ell+\frac{j}{k}} \leq p < \min(q^{\ell+\frac{j+1}{k}}, x)} \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\}^r.$$

On the other hand, observe that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{q^{\ell+\frac{j}{k}} \leq p < q^{\ell+\frac{j+1}{k}}} \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\}^r = \left(\frac{j}{k} + \frac{\xi}{k}\right)^r \sum_{q^{\ell+\frac{j}{k}} \leq p < q^{\ell+\frac{j+1}{k}}} \frac{1}{p}$$

for some real  $\xi$  such that  $|\xi| < 1$ . Using Lemma 2 (replacing the error term by  $O(1/\log^2 x)$ , say), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad \sum_{q^{\ell+\frac{j}{k}} \leq p < q^{\ell+\frac{j+1}{k}}} \frac{1}{p} &= \log \log \left( q^{\ell+\frac{j+1}{k}} \right) - \log \log \left( q^{\ell+\frac{j}{k}} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2 \log^2 q} \right) \\
&= \log \left( \ell + \frac{j+1}{k} \right) - \log \left( \ell + \frac{j}{k} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2 \log^2 q} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{k\ell} + O \left( \frac{1}{k\ell^2} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2 \log^2 q} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining relations (3), (4) and (5), we obtain that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{\substack{p < x \\ \frac{j}{k} \leq \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\} < \frac{j+1}{k}}} \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\}^r = \left( \frac{j}{k} + \frac{\xi}{k} \right)^r \sum_{\ell=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \right\rfloor} \left( \frac{1}{k\ell} + O \left( \frac{1}{k\ell^2} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2 \log^2 q} \right) \right).$$

Observe also that

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \right\rfloor} \left( \frac{1}{k\ell} + O \left( \frac{1}{k\ell^2} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2 \log^2 q} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{k} \log \log x + O(1).$$

Combining relations (2), (6) and (7) with the identity

$$\left( \frac{j}{k} + \frac{\xi}{k} \right)^r = \frac{j^r}{k^r} + O \left( \frac{r(j+1)^{r-1}}{k^r} \right),$$

we obtain

$$(8) \quad \sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\}^r = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{j^r}{k^{r+1}} \log \log x + O \left( \frac{r(j+1)^{r-1}}{k^{r+1}} \log \log x \right) + O \left( \frac{j^r}{k^r} \right) \right) + O(1).$$

The right hand side member of (8) is equal to

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{r+1} \log \log x + O \left( \frac{(k+1)^r}{k^{r+1}} \log \log x \right) + O \left( \frac{k}{r} \right) + O(1).$$

Choosing  $k = \lfloor \sqrt{r \log \log x} \rfloor$ , the proof of the second relation of Lemma 4 then follows from relations (8) and (9).

In order to prove the first relation of Lemma 4, we first observe that, using the Prime Number Theorem in the form  $\sum_{p < x} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log x + O(1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\} &= \sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \frac{\log p}{\log q} - \sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \left\lfloor \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\rfloor \\
&= \frac{\log x}{\log q} + O(1) - \sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \left\lfloor \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\rfloor.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \left\lfloor \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\rfloor = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor} j \sum_{q^j < p \leq \min(x, q^{j+1})} \frac{1}{p}.$$

Using Lemma 2 (replacing the error term by  $O(1/\log^3 x)$ , say) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q^j < p \leq q^{j+1}} \frac{1}{p} &= \log \log q^{j+1} - \log \log q^j + O\left(\frac{1}{j^3 \log^3 q}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{2j^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{j^3}\right) \quad (j \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

We may therefore conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \left\lfloor \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\rfloor &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \rfloor - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2j} + O\left(\frac{1}{j^2}\right)\right) + O(1) \\ &= \frac{\log x}{\log q} - \frac{\log \log x}{2} + O(\log \log q) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first equation of Lemma 4 and thus completes the proof of the lemma.

Let  $x$  be a large fixed positive integer and set

$$R := x \prod_{p < x} p.$$

We consider the set  $U = \{n < R\}$  with the probability measure

$$P(S) = \frac{\#S}{R}, \quad \text{for each } S \subseteq U.$$

For each prime number  $p < x$ , we introduce the random variables

$$\xi_p(n) := \begin{cases} 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\rfloor & \text{if } p|n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 5.** *For each prime number  $p < x$ , the following equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_p &:= E[\xi_p] = \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \left\lfloor \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\rfloor\right), \\ \sigma_p^2 &:= E[(\xi_p - \mu_p)^2] = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \left(1 - \left\lfloor \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\rfloor\right)^2, \\ E[(\xi_p - \mu_p)^3] &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{3}{p^2} + \frac{2}{p^3}\right) \left(1 - \left\lfloor \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\rfloor\right)^3. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of Lemma 5.** Since for each prime number  $p < x$ , we have  $p|R$ , the random variables  $\xi_p$  are independent. Moreover, one can easily verify the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\xi_p = 1 - \left\{\frac{\log p}{\log q}\right\}\right) &= \frac{1}{p}, \\ P(\xi_p = 0) &= \frac{p-1}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

From these, it follows immediately that

$$(10) \quad E[\xi_p] = \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \left\{\frac{\log p}{\log q}\right\}\right),$$

$$(11) \quad E[\xi_p^2] = \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \left\{\frac{\log p}{\log q}\right\}\right)^2,$$

$$(12) \quad E[\xi_p^3] = \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \left\{\frac{\log p}{\log q}\right\}\right)^3.$$

All three equalities of Lemma 5 then easily follow from (10), (11) and (12).

**Lemma 6.** For each real number  $y$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{\sum_{p < x} \xi_p - \frac{1}{2} \log \log x}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{3} \log \log x}} < y\right) = \Phi(y).$$

**Proof of Lemma 6.** This result follows from Lemmas 4, 5 and 3 (Central Limit Theorem).

On the same probability space  $\{n < R\}$ , we define the random variables

$$\chi_p(n) := \begin{cases} 1 - \left\{\frac{\log p}{\log q}\right\} & \text{if } p|a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $a$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $a \equiv n \pmod{x}$ .

**Lemma 7.** As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$E\left[\left|\sum_p \xi_p - \sum_p \chi_p\right|\right] < \frac{\pi(x)}{x} = \frac{1 + o(1)}{\log x}.$$

**Proof of Lemma 7.** We only need to observe that

$$P\left(\chi_p = 1 - \left\{\frac{\log p}{\log q}\right\}\right) = \frac{1}{R} \#\{n < R : p|a\} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{R}{x} \left[\frac{x}{p}\right] = \frac{1}{x} \left[\frac{x}{p}\right].$$

Indeed, it then follows that

$$E[\chi_p] = \left(1 - \left\{\frac{\log p}{\log q}\right\}\right) \frac{1}{x} \left[\frac{x}{p}\right] = \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \left\{\frac{\log p}{\log q}\right\}\right) + \frac{\xi}{x}$$

for some  $|\xi| < 1$ . Hence

$$\left| E[\chi_p] - E[\xi_p] \right| < \frac{1}{x}$$

and therefore

$$E \left[ \left| \sum_p \xi_p - \sum_p \chi_p \right| \right] \leq \sum_{p < x} \left| E[\chi_p] - E[\xi_p] \right| < \frac{\pi(x)}{x},$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 7.

**Lemma 8.** *As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$P \left( \left| \sum_{p < x} \xi_p - \sum_{p < x} \chi_p \right| > 1 \right) < \frac{1 + o(1)}{\log x}.$$

**Proof of Lemma 8.** This result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 7 and the Markov inequality (see for instance Galambos [6], p. 150).

**Lemma 9.** *Given a fixed integer  $N \geq 2$ , let  $\alpha_i \geq t \geq N^{1/(N-1)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{c} \prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i,$$

where  $c = \frac{t^{N-1}}{N}$ .

**Proof of Lemma 9.** Assume that  $\alpha_i \geq t \geq N^{1/(N-1)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i > \frac{1}{c} \prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i.$$

We then have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i > \left( \frac{N}{t^{N-1}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i}{\alpha_j} \right) \alpha_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, N).$$

Observe that, using the fact that  $\alpha_i \geq t$ ,

$$\frac{N}{t^{N-1}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i}{\alpha_j} \geq N \quad (j = 1, \dots, N).$$

We therefore obtain that for each integer  $j = 1, \dots, N$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i > N \alpha_j,$$

which contradicts the fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \leq N \max_i \alpha_i,$$

thus completing the proof of Lemma 9.



#### §4. The proofs of the main results

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Assume that  $n \leq x$  satisfies the inequality

$$v(n) < \log \log n.$$

By Lemma 1(ii), we thus omit at most  $\frac{x}{\sqrt{\log \log x}}$  integers  $n \leq x$ . By the definition of the function  $h_1(n)$ , we then obtain

$$(13) \quad h_1(n) = O(\log \log \log n).$$

Moreover, by definition, we have

$$h_2(n) \leq \omega(v(n)) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\log \left( \frac{\log v(n)}{\log 2} \right)}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 1 \right).$$

It follows from this that

$$(14) \quad h_2(n) \ll \frac{\log v(n)}{\log \log v(n)} \log \log v(n) \ll \log \log \log n.$$

Hence, combining (13) and (14), we have

$$(15) \quad h_1(n) + h_2(n) = O(\log \log \log n).$$

Assume also that  $\frac{x}{\log \log x} < n < x$ , so that

$$(16) \quad \frac{\log n}{\log q} = \frac{\log x}{\log q} + O(\log \log \log x).$$

Combining (1), (13), (15) and (16), we obtain

$$(17) \quad \# \left\{ n < x : F_q(n) < \frac{\log x}{\log q} + w \right\} \\ = \# \{ n < x : h_3(n) < w + O(\log \log \log x) \} + O\left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{\log \log x}} \right).$$

Calling upon the identity

$$(18) \quad h_3(n) = \sum_{p < x} \chi_p(n),$$

it follows from (17) and (18) that

$$(19) \quad \# \left\{ n < x : F_q(n) < \frac{\log x}{\log q} + w \right\} \\ = \# \left\{ n < x : \sum_{p < x} \chi_p(n) < w + O(\log \log \log x) \right\} + O\left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{\log \log x}} \right).$$

By the definition of the  $\chi_p(n)$ , we have that

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \# \left\{ n < x : \sum_{p < x} \chi_p(n) < w + O(\log \log \log x) \right\} \\ &= \frac{x}{R} \# \left\{ n < R : \sum_{p < x} \chi_p(n) < w + O(\log \log \log x) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 8, we have that

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \# \left\{ n < R : \sum_{p < x} \chi_p(n) < w + O(\log \log \log x) \right\} \\ &= \# \left\{ n < R : \sum_{p < x} \xi_p(n) < w + O(\log \log \log x) \right\} + O\left(\frac{R}{\log x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From (21) and Lemma 7, it then follows that

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \# \left\{ n < R : \sum_{p < x} \chi_p(n) < \frac{1}{2} \log \log x + c\sqrt{\log \log x} + O(\log \log \log x) \right\} \\ &= R(1 + o(1)) \Phi(\sqrt{3}c). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (19), (20) and (22), we finally obtain

$$\# \left\{ n < x : F_q(n) < \frac{\log x}{\log q} + \frac{1}{2} \log \log x + c\sqrt{\log \log x} \right\} = x(1 + o(1)) \Phi(\sqrt{3}c),$$

thus completing the proof of Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We first proof the upper bound. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F_q(n) &= \sum_{p|n} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) + \sum_{\substack{p^a || n \\ a \geq 2}} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\log a}{\log q} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\log(\prod_{p^a || n} ap)}{\log q} + 2\omega(n). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a^{\frac{1}{a-1}} \leq 2$  for each  $a \geq 2$ , we have that  $ap \leq p^a$  for each prime  $p \geq 2$ . Hence,

$$F_q(n) \leq \frac{\log n}{\log q} + 2\omega(n),$$

thus establishing the upper bound.

We now prove the lower bound. As before, we write  $n = u(n)v(n)$ . Since  $(u(n), v(n)) = 1$ , we have

$$F_q(n) \geq \sum_{p|u(n)} \max\left(1, \frac{\log p}{\log q}\right) + \sum_{p^a || v(n)} \max\left(2, \frac{\log \log p^a}{\log q}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\log q} \left( \sum_{p|u(n)} \max(\log q, \log p) + \sum_{p^a||v(n)} \max(\log q^2, \log \log p^a) \right) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\log q} \sum_{p^a||n} \max(\log q, \log \log p^a) \\
&= \frac{1}{\log q} \log \left( \prod_{p^a||n} \max(q, \log p^a) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 9, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_q(n) &\geq \frac{1}{\log q} \log \left( \frac{q^{\omega(n)-1}}{\omega(n)} \sum_{p^a||n} \max(q, \log p^a) \right) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\log q} \log \left( \frac{q^{\omega(n)-1}}{\omega(n)} \log n \right) \\
&= \frac{\log \log n}{\log q} + \omega(n) - 1 - \frac{\log \omega(n)}{\log q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since  $\frac{\log \log n^{\frac{1}{\omega(n)}}}{\log q}$  is not an integer for  $n, q \geq 2$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_q(n) &\geq \left\lceil \frac{\log \log n^{\frac{1}{\omega(n)}}}{\log q} + \omega(n) - 1 \right\rceil \\
&= \left\lfloor \frac{\log \log n^{\frac{1}{\omega(n)}}}{\log q} \right\rfloor + \omega(n) - 1 \\
&= \left\lfloor \frac{\log \log n^{\frac{1}{\omega(n)}}}{\log q} \right\rfloor + \omega(n),
\end{aligned}$$

thus establishing the lower bound and completing the proof of Theorem 2.

## §5. Final remarks

The study of the behavior of the function  $H_q(x, y)$  is still very much uncharted. For instance, for any fixed value of  $y$ , Theorem 2 only reveals that  $H_q(\infty, y) < \infty$ . Hence, obtaining a general fairly good estimate for  $H_q(x, y)$  is certainly an interesting challenge. On the other hand, we believe that the result for economical numbers could be generalized to yield

$$H_q \left( x, \frac{\log x}{\log q} + c \log \log x \right) = \frac{x}{(\log x)^{R(q,c)+o(1)}} \quad \left( x \rightarrow \infty, -\infty < c < \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

To prove or disprove this claim and moreover to describe the behavior of the function  $R(q, c)$  in the eventuality that the claim is true would also be very interesting.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The first author was supported in part by a grant from NSERC.

## References

- [1] S.N. Bernstein, *On the work of P.L.Chebyshev in Probability Theory*, Nauchnoe Nasledie P.L.Chebysheva. Vypusk Pervyi: Matematika. (Russian) [The Scientific Legacy of P. L. Chebyshev. First Part: Mathematics] Edited by S. N. Bernstein.] Academiya Nauk SSSR, Moscow-Leningrad, 1945.
- [2] J.M. De Koninck and I. Kátai, *On the mean value of the index of composition*, Monatshefte für Mathematik, **145** (2005), no. 2, 131-144.
- [3] J.M. De Koninck and F. Luca, *On strings of consecutive economical numbers of arbitrary length*, Integers, **5** (2005), #A5.
- [4] J.M. De Koninck and F. Luca, *Counting the number of economical numbers*, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen, **68** (2006), 97-113.
- [5] J.M. De Koninck, N. Doyon et F. Luca, *Sur la quantité de nombres économiques*, Acta Arithmetica (à paraître).
- [6] J. Galambos, *Introductory Probability Theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [7] B.R. Santos, “Problem 2204. Equidigital Representation”, J. Recreational Mathematics **27** (1995), 58-59.
- [8] A.I. Vinogradov, *On the remainder in Merten’s formula*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **148** (1963), 262-263.

Jean-Marie De Koninck  
Département de mathématiques  
et de statistique  
Université Laval  
Québec G1K 7P4  
Canada  
jmdk@mat.ulaval.ca

Nicolas Doyon  
Département de mathématiques  
et de statistique  
Université Laval  
Québec G1K 7P4  
Canada  
nicolas.doyon@mat.ulaval.ca

Patrice Letendre  
Département de mathématiques  
et de statistique  
Université Laval  
Québec G1K 7P4  
Canada  
patrick.letendre.1@ulaval.ca