

## SOME RANDOMLY SELECTED ARITHMETICAL SUMS

J.-M. DE KONINCK (Québec) and J. GALAMBOS (Philadelphia)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $p_1(n) < p_2(n) < \dots < p_\omega(n)$  be the sequence of distinct prime divisors of  $n$ ; that is,

$$n = \prod_{j=1}^{\omega} p_j^{\alpha_j}(n), \quad n \geq 2,$$

where  $\omega = \omega(n)$  is the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$ .

Recently, several authors investigated the behavior of

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{p_j(n)} = R_j(x)$$

for some specific choices of  $j$ . In particular, for  $j=1$ , or whenever  $j$  is preassigned, it is not difficult to show that  $R_j(x) \sim c_j x$  with a computable constant  $c_j$ . On the other hand, when  $j = \omega(n)$ , the problem of finding good approximations to  $R_j(x)$  becomes very difficult; by refining several earlier results, Ivić and Pomerance [5] found the best known approximation. Quite remarkably, the case of  $j = \omega(n) - 1$ , or  $j = \omega(n) - k$  with  $k$  fixed, shows no similarity to the case of  $j = \omega(n)$ , and asymptotic expressions are in fact known (Erdős and Ivić [3]):

$$R_{\omega-k}(x) \sim c_k \frac{x(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{\log x}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where  $c_k$  is a constant.

In order to obtain an “average type of information” on the magnitude of  $p_j(n)$ , when  $j$  does not belong to the mentioned cases (i.e. either  $j$  is fixed or  $j = \omega - k$  with  $k$  fixed), we set up the following probabilistic approach. For every integer  $n \leq x$ , pick one  $p(n)$  of its prime divisors  $p_j(n)$  with equal probabilities (hence  $p(n) = p_j(n)$  with probability  $1/\omega(n)$ ), and consider the sums

$$(1) \quad R(x) = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{p(n)}.$$

Here and in what follows we assume that  $x$  is an integer. Evidently, there are  $\omega(2)\omega(3)\dots\omega(x)$  sums of the type in (1), and

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{p_\omega(n)} \leq R(x) \leq \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{p_1(n)}.$$

It turns out that "almost all" sums in (1) are asymptotically equal to the same expression,  $cx/\log \log x$ , indicating that  $R_j(x)$  does not vary much with  $j$  when  $j$  is not an extreme (constant or  $\omega - k$  with  $k$  constant).

This probabilistic approach to  $R_j(x)$  led us to investigate several other arithmetical sums

$$(2) \quad Q(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} r(n),$$

where  $r(n)$  is one randomly selected member of a set  $A_n$  associated with  $n$ . We establish that  $Q(x)$  is asymptotically the same value for "almost all" selections of  $r(n)$ . For example, if  $A_n$  is the set of the reciprocals of the divisors of  $n$ , then it turns out that

$$(3) \quad Q(x) \sim \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n\tau(n)},$$

where  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum of the divisors of  $n$ , and  $\tau(n)$  is the number of divisors of  $n$ . On the other hand, if  $A_n$  is the set of all divisors of  $n$ , then (again for almost all selections of  $r(n)$  in (2)),

$$(4) \quad Q(x) \sim \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{\tau(n)}.$$

These results, therefore, give a probabilistic meaning to the arithmetical sums on the right hand sides of (3) and (4), involving well known arithmetical functions.

## 2. The sum of reciprocals of random prime divisors of $n$

As in the introduction,  $p_1(n) < p_2(n) < \dots < p_\omega(n)$  denote the distinct prime divisors of  $n$ , and we select one  $p(n)$  of these prime divisors at random (with equal probabilities). Set

$$(5) \quad R(x) = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{p(n)}.$$

Note again the total number of sums of the form of (5) is  $\omega(2)\omega(3)\dots\omega(x)$ . We shall say that a property holds for almost all sums in (5) if the number  $N(x)$  of the sums with the property in question satisfies

$$N(x)/\omega(2)\omega(3)\dots\omega(x) \rightarrow 1$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *For almost all sums in (5),*

$$R(x) = \frac{c_1 x}{\log \log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^2}\right)$$

where  $c_1 = \sum 1/p^2$ , the summation being over all primes  $p$ .

The proof is based on the Chebyshev inequality stated below.

LEMMA. Let  $A_n = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{f(n)}\}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , be a sequence of finite sets. For every  $n \geq 1$ , pick one member  $r(n)$  of  $A_n$  at random with equal probabilities (i.e.  $r(n) = a_j$  with probability  $1/f(n)$ ), and set

$$(6) \quad Q(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} r(n).$$

Then the number  $N_Q(x)$  of sums in (6) for which

$$|Q(x) - E| \geq V^{5/8}$$

where

$$E = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{f(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{f(n)} a_j$$

and

$$V = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{f(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{f(n)} a_j^2 - \sum_{n \leq x} \left( \frac{1}{f(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{f(n)} a_j \right)^2$$

satisfies

$$N_Q(x) \leq V^{-1/4} f(1)f(2) \dots f(x).$$

PROOF. See Galambos [4].

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. With the notations of the lemma,

$$(7) \quad E = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\omega(n)} \sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p} = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{m \leq x/p} \frac{1}{\omega(pm)}.$$

Clearly

$$\frac{1}{\omega(m)+1} \leq \frac{1}{\omega(pm)} \leq \frac{1}{\omega(m)}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{\omega(pm)} = \frac{1}{\omega(m)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\omega(m)^2}\right),$$

where the  $O(\dots)$  is uniform in  $m \geq 2$ . Therefore

$$(8) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{m \leq x/p} \frac{1}{\omega(pm)} = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq x/p} \frac{1}{\omega(m)} + O\left(\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq x/p} \frac{1}{\omega(m)^2}\right).$$

Now

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\sqrt{x} < p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq x/p} \frac{1}{\omega(m)} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{m \leq x/p} 1 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{x}{p} = \\ &= O(\sqrt{x} \log \log x) = O(x/(\log \log x)^2). \end{aligned}$$

We recall the estimates

$$(10) \quad \sum_{2 \leq m \leq x} \frac{1}{\omega(m)} = \frac{x}{\log \log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^2}\right)$$

and

$$(11) \quad \sum_{2 \leq m \leq x} \frac{1}{\omega(m)^2} = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^2}\right)$$

proved in De Koninck [1]. Using (10), we have

$$(12) \quad \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq x/p} \frac{1}{\omega(m)} = \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{p} \frac{x/p}{\log \log (x/p)} + O\left(\frac{x/p}{(\log \log (x/p))^2}\right).$$

But since, for  $p \leq \sqrt{x}$ ,

$$\log\left(1 - \frac{\log p}{\log x}\right) = O(1),$$

then

$$(13) \quad \frac{1}{\log \log (x/p)} = \frac{1}{\log \log x + \log\left(1 - \frac{\log p}{\log x}\right)} = \frac{1}{\log \log x} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\log\left(1 - \frac{\log p}{\log x}\right)}{\log \log x}} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\log \log x} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\left(1 - \frac{\log p}{\log x}\right)}{\log \log x}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{\log \log x} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log \log x)^2}\right).$$

Using this in (12) yields

$$\sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq x/p} \frac{1}{\omega(m)} = \frac{x}{\log \log x} \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{p^2} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^2}\right).$$

Since

$$\sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{p^2} = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right) = c_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right), \quad \text{say,}$$

we finally obtain

$$(14) \quad \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq x/p} \frac{1}{\omega(m)} = c_1 \frac{x}{\log \log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^2}\right).$$

Also, using (11), we have

$$(15) \quad \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq x/p} \frac{1}{\omega(m)^2} = O\left(\sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{p} \frac{x/p}{(\log \log (x/p))^2}\right) = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^2}\right),$$

because of (13).

Now combining (14) and (15), and using once more (9), (8) becomes

$$(16) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{m \leq x/p} \frac{1}{\omega(pm)} = c_1 \frac{x}{\log \log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^2}\right).$$

Hence

$$E = c_1 \frac{x}{\log \log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^2}\right).$$

By similar calculations, we get

$$V = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\omega(n)} \sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p^2} - \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \left(\frac{1}{\omega(n)} \sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p}\right)^2 \cong \frac{c_2 x}{\log \log x},$$

and thus the lemma implies Theorem 1.

### 3. Random sums related to the divisors of $n$

Let now  $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_\tau$  be the divisors of  $n$ , where  $d_j = d_j(n)$  and  $\tau = \tau(n)$  is the number of divisors of  $n$ . In addition, let  $\sigma(n)$  be the sum of the divisors of  $n$ . Again, for each  $n \geq 2$ , we pick one  $r(n)$  of the divisors  $d_j$ , and, with a given function  $h(\cdot)$ , we define

$$(17) \quad Q(x) = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} h(r(n)).$$

Because the number of sums in (17) is  $\tau(2)\tau(3)\dots\tau(x)$ , we now say that a property holds for almost all sums in (17) if it holds for  $N^*$  sums such that  $N^*/\tau(2)\tau(3)\dots\tau(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Although the basic idea of the computations is the same for a large variety of choices for  $h(u)$ , we carry out the computations when  $h(u)$  is either  $1/u$  or  $u$ . Their significance is that the major terms in the asymptotic expressions below are familiar arithmetical sums.

**THEOREM 2.** For almost all sums in (17),

$$(i) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{r(n)} = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n\tau(n)} + O(x^{5/8}) = \frac{c_3 x}{\sqrt{\log x}} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{3/2}}\right);$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} r(n) = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{\tau(n)} + O(x^{15/8}) = \frac{c_4 x^2}{\sqrt{\log x}} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^{3/2}}\right).$$

**PROOF.** We again use the Chebyshev inequality stated as Lemma in the previous section.

(i) *The case of  $1/r(n)$ :*

$$E = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\tau(n)} \sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{d} = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n\tau(n)} \sum_{d|n} \frac{n}{d} = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n\tau(n)} \sum_{d|n} d = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n\tau(n)}.$$

An asymptotic expression for the last sum above can easily be obtained by using Dirichlet generating functions. As a matter of fact, since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sigma(n)/n\tau(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left( 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(1+1/p)}{p^s} + \frac{\frac{1}{3}(1+1/p+1/p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right) = (\zeta(s))^{1/2} R(s),$$

where  $R(s) = O(1)$  for  $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ , we have that

$$(18) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n\tau(n)} = \frac{R(1)x}{\sqrt{\log x}} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{3/2}}\right).$$

Now,

$$V = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\tau(n)} \sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{d^2} - \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\tau(n)} \left( \sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{d} \right)^2,$$

which can easily be seen to satisfy  $V = O(x)$  (more accurate computation is also possible, but this rough estimate suffices). Hence, Lemma concludes the proof of the statement in part (i).

Turning to (ii),  $E$  takes the form

$$E = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\tau(n)} \sum_{d|n} d = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{\tau(n)},$$

which, by partial summation in (18), yields the asymptotic formula of (ii) upon observing that the estimate  $V = O(x^3)$  is immediate.

Let us conclude by mentioning that several other familiar arithmetical sums do have probabilistic meaning similar to the ones appearing in Theorem 2. For example, if we pick an exponent  $\alpha(n)$  at random in the prime factorization  $n = \prod p^\alpha$ , then, for almost all choices of  $\alpha(n)$ ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \alpha(n) \sim \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n)}{\omega(n)},$$

where  $\Omega(n)$  is the total number of prime divisors of  $n$ . This latter sum has been investigated in much detail in De Koninck and Ivić [2], yielding

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \alpha(n) \sim x,$$

for almost all sums on the left hand side.

### References

- [1] J. M. De Koninck, On a certain class of arithmetical functions, *Duke Math. J.*, **39** (1972), 807—818.
- [2] J. M. De Koninck and A. Ivić, *Topics in Arithmetical Functions*, Notas de Matematica 72, North-Holland (Amsterdam, 1980).
- [3] P. Erdős and A. Ivić, On sums involving reciprocals of certain arithmetical functions, *Publs. Inst. Math. Belgrade*, **32** (1982), 49—56.
- [4] J. Galambos, *Introductory Probability Theory*, Marcel Dekker (New York, 1984).
- [5] A. Ivić and C. Pomerance, Estimates of certain sums involving the largest prime factor of an integer, *Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai* 34, *Topics in classical number theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.

(Received April 1, 1985)

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES  
UNIVERSITÉ LAVAL  
QUÉBEC, CANADA G1K 7P4

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TEMPLE UNIVERSITY  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122  
U.S.A.